## STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | 201215419 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 5016 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: |  |
| April 2,2012 |  |
| County: | Macomb County DHS (15) |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 2, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included The Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , ES.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with energy or utility service(s)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On October 13, 2011, Claimant applied for SER assistance with energy or utility service.
2. On November 8, 2011, the Department sent notice of the application denial to Claimant of her request for assistance with her water bill.
3. No water bill or shut off notice was submitted with the Claimant's application.
4. The Department paid the electric and heat bills as requested by the Claimant. Exhibit 4.
5. On November 14, 2011, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, The Claimant admitted that she did not include the water bill with her State Emergency Relief application. Policy does require that the information necessary to process the application be provided. In this case the Department processed and paid for the heat and electric bill as demonstrated at the hearing. Based upon the testimony of the Claimant that she did not include the water bill, the Department correctly denied the SER application for assistance with her water bill. The Claimant may resubmit the SER request for assistance with her water bill.

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
$\boxtimes$ properly denied $\quad \square$ improperly denied
Claimant's SER application for assistance with energy and utility services.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
$\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\quad \square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\square$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:


Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: April 3, 2012
Date Mailed: April 3, 2012
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of
the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. ( 60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
LMF/hw
cc:


