STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

		ΙE					
ı					•	•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201215007 2001 May 10, 2012 Wayne (18)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin					
HEARING DEC	ISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 10, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded Claimant. Part icipants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payment Worker.					
ISSUE					
Did the Departm ent properly $\ igsqcup$ deny Claiman t' for:	s application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case			
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Direct Support Services (DSS)?		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? Ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he evidence on the whole record, finds as material f	competent, materi act:	al, and substantial			
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received l	penefits for:				
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS). 		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On November 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to failure to submit completed redetermination form.
3.	On October 20, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On November 1, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc eency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 0.3180.

and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is adminis tered by the Department pursuant to MCL
400.57a, et. seg., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, recipients of st ate benefits must complete r edeterminations to determine ongoing eligibility for the benefit s at least once every twelve months. BAM 210. A redetermination pack et is cons idered com plete when all the sections, including the signature section, are completed and returned. BAM 210. Medical assistance benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210.

In this case, the Department closed Claimant's AMP case effective November 1, 2011, based on Claimant's failure to return a comp leted redetermination. At the hearing, the Department testified that a redetermination form was sent from the Department's central print office in Lansing to Claim ant at "I MN, Ste 200, 1 Ajax Dr. Madison Heights. Michigan 48701." This address was not the address Claimant verified at the hearing where she testified she had res ided for the past year and a half or Claim address in Taylor. Claimant credibly testified that she was not familiar with the address used by the Department, had not lived at t he address, and did not even k now where Madison Heights was located. In light of the fact that the Department failed to establish that the redetermination form was sent to Claimant at the correct address, the with Department policy when it closed Department failed to act in accordance Claimant's AMP case for failure to return a completed redetermination.

Although Claimant testified that she believed she did re ceive the redetermination form and sent the complet ed form to Lansing, the testimony at the hearin g established that Claimant receives other State benefits. Therefore, it is possible that Claimant may have mistaken her redetermination with respect to a different program with the AMP redetermination. The Department failed to present a copy of the AMP redetermination form sent to Claimant to allow Claimant to verify that the form it sent her was the same form she received and completed. Further, as indicated above, the Department testified that the AMP redetermination was sent to the Madison Heights address and Claimant testified that she was not familiar with t hat address. Claiman t did not acknowledg e receiving any mail addressed to her at that address. Thus, Claimant's testimony did not rebut the presumption t hat she did not rec eive the improper lv addressed redetermination form.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case				
or: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC 🔲 DSS.					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🔲 FAP 📗 MA 🔲 SDA 🔲 CDC 🔲 DSS decision is 🗌 AFFIRMED 🔀 REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
with Department policy, to include send Claimant's current address;	ni nation for her AMP benefits in accordance ing out a redetermination pack age to rage she was eligible to receive but did not				

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 17, 2012

Date Mailed: May 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

