STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 201214979

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	January 12, 2012 Livingston County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S	S. Saunders	
HEARING DEC	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administra and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques telephone hearing was held on January 12, 2013 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Pa Human Services (Department) included	t for a hearing. 2, from Lansing, M	After due notice, a ichigan. Participants
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verificati properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon th evidence on the whole record, including testimor		
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ☐]FIP □FAP ⊠MA	□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).		
3. Claimant was required to submit requested v	erification by Augus	st 15, 2011.

 4. On November 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. 	
 5. On October 10, 2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits. 	
 On October 27, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridge Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	es
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Perso Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independer Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.31 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) progreffective October 1, 1996.	93, nce 101
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FAP)] The Food Stamp (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FAP)] The Program is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.30 through Rule 400.3015.	is eral nce
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	R).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistar for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly knoas the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	wn
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, I and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 19	t of

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
Christopher S. Saunders
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: <u>January 13, 2012</u>

Date Mailed: January 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

201214979/CSS

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CSS/cr

