STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

١	IN	J '	т	Н	F	N	ΙΔ	T	ΓΕ	R	0	F	•
ı	ı,					IV				\mathbf{r}	v		_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-14852 2000 April 4, 2012 Oakland (63-03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 4, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On September 30, 2012, the Department:

	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☑ reduced Claimant's benefits 				
	under the following program(s):				
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.				
2.	On September 12, 2011, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:				
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☑ reduction.				
3.	On October 20, 2011, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.				
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges dity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).				
Respo 42 US Ageno throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 by Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.				
progra imple: Regul Ageno	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.				
Secur	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.				
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.				

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: recalculate Claimant's MA and Patient Pay Amount (spend-down or deductible), and also recalculate Claimant's son's MA and Patient Pay Amount, in accordance with the requirements of BEM 540, "MA Deemed Income and Deduction - SSI-Related Children.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate procedures to recalculate Claimant's and Claimant's son's MA benefits and Patient Pay Amounts in accordance with the requirements of BEM 540;
- 2. Initiate procedures to inform Claimant via a Notice of Case Action as to the benefits and Patient Pay Amounts that the Department approves.

3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 5, 2012

Date Mailed: April 5, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/pf

CC: