STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN | TH | MΛ. | TT | | \mathbf{a} | |
|----|----|-------|----|---|--------------|----|
| ПV | | VI /~ | | ᄗ | | Г. |

| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2012-14524 2006 January 9, 2012 Oakland (04) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane | | | | | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | | | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 9, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant incl. uded the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included | | | | | | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | | | | | |
| Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification req uirements, did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for: | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | | assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☐FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.
- 2. Cla imant ⋈ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).
- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by September 1, 2011.

| | On September 19, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits or failure to submit verification in a timely manner. |
|-------------------------------|---|
| | On September 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. |
| | On October 24, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. Simple closure. Teduction. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| - | artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges bility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Resp 42 U Ager throu | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, ISC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctive October 1, 1996. |
| prog imple Regu Ager | The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 3001 through Rule 400.3015. |
| Secu The | The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. |
| for d as th | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance isabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known he F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. |
| and 2 | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of D, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. |

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant failed to return a redetermination packet.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ improperly | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits. | | | | | | | | |
| DECISION AND ORDER | | | | | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly. | | | | | | | | |
| Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ REVERSED for $$ reasons stated on the record. | the | | | | | | | |

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 1, 2012

Date Mailed: February 1, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

