STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-14380 1005/3008 February 1, 2012 Oakland (02)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Ber	nnane					
HEARING DECI	SION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrat and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request f telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 1, 2012 on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Pa Human Services (Department) included	or a hearing. Afte , from Detroi t, Mic	r due notice, a chigan. Participant s				
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close benefits for:	· _	lid the Department ☑ reduce Claimant's				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability As Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	• '	ial, and substantia I s as material fact:				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☒F	FIP ⊠FAP □MA [□SDA □CDC.				
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a ∨	erification Checkli	st (DHS-3503).				
3. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	rification by Octob	er 31, 2011.				

	On November 8, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits or failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
	On November 8, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	On November 17, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 U Ageo throu	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, JSC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctive October 1, 1996.
prog impl Reg Agei	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) gram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule .3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secu The	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for d as th	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 0, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant's FIP and FAP group should have increased from two people when her newborn c hild and the fa ther of that child who mo ved in with t he claimant. However, the claimant does not feel that the father of her second child should have to support her first child since that child is not hims. It was explained to the claimant that pince moved into her home with her newborn child and her previous child he became a mandatory member of her FIP and FAP group, as per policy. (BEM 210 and BEM 212).
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 7, 2012

Date Mailed: February 7, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

