# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-14241

Issue No.: 5000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 6, 2012 County: Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 6, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included

Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with shelter emergency?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On September 20, 2011, Claimant applied for SER assistance with shelter emergency.
- 2. On September 23, 2011, the Department sent notice of the application denial to Claimant.
- 3. On October 27, 2011, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by, 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the Department presented no evidence that was relevant to the understanding why the application in question was denied. The Department presented a budget that showed that Claimant passed the affordability test and a sheet that showed Claimant's application had been denied. The Department was unable to explain exactly why the application had been denied. If Claimant had passed the affordability test, Claimant had passed one of the hurdles to receiving a SER grant. However, the Department was unaware as to why Claimant had been denied and did not even present a denial notice.

Therefore, as the Department could not explain the denial, the Department has failed to show that the denial was valid, and must be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department  properly denied  claimant's SER application for assistance with shelter emergency.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2012

1. Reprocess the SER application in question.

Date Mailed: June 18, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## RJC/pf

