STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	l Th		$M \Lambda$	\ T	ГЕ	D	\cap	┏.
ш		-		\ I		•	•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201214078 3003 January 4, 2012 Wayne County DHS (76)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Brad	ley					
HEARING DECIS	SION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on January 4, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Department of Human Services (Department) included , Family independence Manager, and , Eligibility Specialist.						
ISSUE						
Due to excess income, did the Department prope ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's be		laimant's application				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	•	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ re	ceived benefits fo	r:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	-	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

- 2. On October 1, 2011, the Department reduced Claimant's FAP benefits from \$200 to \$122.00 per month.
- 3. On November 1, 2011, the Department increased the Claimant's FAP benefits to \$131.00 per month.
- 4. On December 1, 2011, the Department increased the Claimant's FAP benefits to \$188.00 per month.
- 5. The Claimant did not have a change in income in October or November of 2011.
- 6. On November 28, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the reduction of benefits from \$200 per month.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, the Department offered inconsistent testimony and insufficient evidence as to the basis for the reduction FAP benefits from \$200 per month. While there has been different policy changes that could affect the Claimant's FAP benefits, the Department was unable to state with clarity the basis for the fluctuations in the Claimant's benefits that occurred beginning October 1, 2011. Therefore, the Department has failed to establish that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it redcued the Claimant's FAP benefits.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, the Department properly improperly
☐ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall recalculate the Claimant's FAP budgets from October 1, 2011 and on-going.
- 2. The Department shall notify the Claimant in writing regarding her FAP benefits.

3. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for lost benefits she was eligible and otherwise qualified to receive from October 1, 2011 and on-going.

Andrea J. Bradley
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 13, 2012

Date Mailed: January 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/hw

