STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-14004 Issue No.: 2009/4031

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 30, 2012 County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a Telephone hearing was held on January 30, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (ES).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process the claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 14, 2011, the claimant applied for MA and also applied for MA and SDA for his son.
- 2. The claimant's MA was denied by the Medical Review Team (MRT).
- 3. The claimant's son is already receiving MA through his mother.
- 4. The claimant must apply himself for SDA.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Additionally, the Department denied the son's MA because he is already receiving same through his mother. The claimant's son was sent an application because he must apply for himself being 19 years of age. The claimant's MA is still pending a hearing review.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

☑ did act properly when it denied the claimant's properly in its review of the claimant's MA and \$\frac{1}{2}.	
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP is ☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reason	CDC decision

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 14, 2012

Date Mailed: February 14, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

