STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-139 2006 November 3, 2011 Wayne County
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C.	Burke	
HEARING I	DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Admini and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requtelephone hearing was held on Nove mber 3, on behalf of Claim ant included Claimant Participants on behalf of Department of Huand AP Supervisor.	uest for a hearing. Afte 2011, from Detroit, Mi and Claimant's father,	r due notice, a chigan. Participants
ISSI	<u>UE</u>	
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rifiproperly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ c benefits for:	ication requirements, close Claimant's case [
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testing	•	
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving:	□FIP □FAP ⊠AMF	SDA CCC.
The Department issued to Claimant a F August 1, 2011.	Redetermination Form,	with proofs due by

3.	Claimant did not receive the Redetermination Form.
4.	On September 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On August 19,2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On September 12, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, Claimant testified credibly that she did not receive the Redetermination For m for AMP, even though the form was addressed correctly to Claimant. Claimant stated she did receive Food Assistance Program (FAP) forms, and she promptly submitted the requested information for that program. The Department's representative confirmed that Claimant did return the requested information for FAP. Claimant testified that she previously received other request forms from the Department and that when she did, she responded to the Department's requests. Based on the above discussion, I cannot find that Claimant refused to cooperate with the Department. BAM 130.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

2012-139/SCB

☐ properly					
denied C	Claimant's case. Claimant's application. Claimant's benefits.				
	DECISION A	ND ORDER			
	tive Law Judge, based upon t the reasons stated on the rec erly. \(\overline{\text{\text{\text{\text{did}}}}\) not act prope	ord, finds that the			
	e Depar tment's decision is within the record.	AFFIRMED	⊠ REVERSED for the		
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's AMP case, effective September 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for AMP.					
		<u>Ju</u>	Susan C. Burke		
		for	Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge r Maura Corrigan, Director rtment of Human Services		
Date Signed: 1	<u>1/7/11</u>				
Date Mailed: 1	<u>1/7/11</u>				
reconsideration the receipt date reconsideration	igan Administrative Hearing S on either its own motion or of this Dec ision and Orde on the Department's mo t ithin 90 days of the filing of the	at the request of a r. MAHS will not ion where the fina	a par ty within 30 days of t or der a rehearing or al decis ion cannot be		

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

2012-139/SCB

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

