## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEDARTMENT OF HIMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HE	DWAN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-13856 2001, 3008 February 2, 2012 Macomb (50-12)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levent	er	
HEARING DE	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminis and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requetelephone hearing was held on February 2, 2 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Pa Human Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. 012, from Detroit, Mi	After due notice, a chigan. Participants
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claima for:	nt's application 🛛 cl	lose Claimant's case
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	d benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

	n October 28, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ue to lack of verification of assets.
$\boxtimes$	n October 28, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  otice of the denial. Closure.
4. Oı	n November 1, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	ertment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the es Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsible 42 US Agendarian	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996.
progra imple Regu Agen	he Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secui The	the Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 05.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is nistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for di Servi progr	ne State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance isabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ces (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA cam pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	
Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant failed to establish by sufficient evidence that he submitted checking (debit) account information to the Department to verify his assets. It is found and determined that there is insufficient information to establish that Claimant cooperated with the Department.	
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>	
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🖂 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC.	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	
Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed: February 6, 2012  Date Mailed: February 6, 2012	
<b>NOTICE</b> : Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or	

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/pf

CC:

