STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ΙTΙ	HE	MΑ	TTE	R O	F:

Reg. No.: 2012-13783

Issue No.: 4060

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 31, 2012

County: Wayne # 57

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, January 31, 2012 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did Claimant receive an overissuance of program benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	During the period of January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010, Claimant received benefits for:
	 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).
2.	The Department determined that Claimant received a SPAP MA SDA CDC overissuance in the amount of \$2,435 during the period of January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010.
3.	The overissuance was due to 🔀 Department error. 🔀 client error.

- 4. On September 15, 2011, the Department sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.
- 5. On September 26, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges

Additionally, the claimant began working on November 23, 2009 at Helping Hands Nursing Center. Department Exhibit 9, 1-12, and 4-6. During the hearing, the claimant testifed credibly that she informed her department caseworker and provided copies of She did acknowledge that she noticed that her benefits were not her checks. decreased,

Date Mailed: 2/14/12

but believed that she was not making enough to lower her benefits. The department acknowledges the reporting of income on January 5, 2010.

The claimant received an overissuance of FIP benefits of \$1,700 where she received \$492, but based on her earned income she was only eligible for \$67 a month for the contested time period. Department Exhibit 7-8. In addition, the claimant received an overissuance of FAP benefits of \$735 where she received \$484, but was only eligible for \$198 for January 2010 and February 2010 with \$321 for March 2010. On September 15, 2011, the department sent the claimant overissuance notices for FAP for \$735 and FIP for \$1,700. Department Exhibit 17-25. Since claimant is no longer receiving benefits, she is required to pay \$50 a month for each program for a total of \$100. In order to get a lower amount per month, the claimant was given a number to negotiate a lower monthly amount with the department.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant
did not receive the overissuance for which the Department presently seeks recoupment.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: 2/14/12

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/ds

