STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-13494 3021 January 4, 2012 Oakland (63-02)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer					
HEARING DECI	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrat and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on January 4, 2012 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Par Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. , from Detroit, Mi	After due notice, a chigan. Participants			
ISSUE					
Due to excess assets, did the Department prope $oxtime$ close Claimant's case for:	rly 🗌 deny the C	laimant's application			
 ∑ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, including the testi fact:	•	-			
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received b	enefits for:				
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).			
2. Due to excess assets, on October 17, 2011, th		1			

 On October 17, 2011, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
 On October 27, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
FAP was established by the U.S. Food Stamp Act of 1977 and is implemented by Federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10 <i>et seq.</i> and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3001-400.3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals .
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
Additionally, it is undisputed that Claimant's group's assets are more than \$5,000.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

 ☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: 🛮 FAP 🗌 FIP 🗌 MA 🔲 SDA.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's 🛛 FAP 🗌 FIP 🗌 MA 🗍 SDA decision is 🖾 AFFIRMED 🗍 REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Grent
.lan l eventer

Date Signed: January 5, 2012

Date Mailed: January 5, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-13494/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

