STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	МΔ	TTF	R O	F٠
114	ІПС			\sim	г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	201213384 3008 December 22, 2011			
	County:	Wayne County DHS (43)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Brad	ley				
HEARING DECIS	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 22, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, December 22, 2011, From Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Religional Religibility Specialist.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
	State Disability As Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:					
. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.					
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).					
3. On December 1, 2011, the Department					

201213384/AJB

Additionally, clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information

might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

The Department failed to present sufficient testimony as to the Claimant's failure to cooperate with the verification process. Specifically, the Department could not state with certainty when the verifications went out, when the requested information was due to the local office, and also could not state whether a notice of case action was ever sent to the Claimant. The Claimant offered credible testimony that, upon receiving the verification checklist, he came into the local office to seek clarity on what was being requested. There is no evidence of a refusal to cooperate. Further, the Department has an obligation to assist Claimant's when seeking clarification on Department documents in order to protect client rights. BAM 105.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly | improperly Closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall remove the negative action dated December 1, 2011 and reinstate the Claimant's benefits in accordance with Department policy.
- 2. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for lost benefits he was eligible and otherwise qualified to receive but-for the December 1, 2011 negative action.

Andrea J. Bradley Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/29/11</u>

Date Mailed: 12/29/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/hw

