STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		Reg. No: Issue No: Case No: Hearing Dat Genesee Co	•
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW J	UDGE: Kevin Scu	lly	
	HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the unand MCL 400.37 following telephone hearing was heled on behalf of Claimant inclused Human Services (Departmetes)	ig Claimant's requ d on February 9, 2 ded	lest for a hearing.	After due notice, a chigan. Participants
	ISSU	<u>IE</u>	
Due to a failure to comp properly ⊠ deny Claimant benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence F☐ Food Assistance Progr☐ Medical Assistance (Ma	am (FAP)?	⊠ State Disability A ☐ Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
	FINDINGS (OF FACT	
The Administrative Law July evidence on the whole reco	•		
1. Claimant ⊠ □CDC.	applied for \square was	s receiving:	JFAP ⊠MA ⊠SDA
2. Claimant ⊠ (DHS-3503).	was 🗌 was no	t provided with a V	erification Checklist
3. Claimant w October 13. 2	•	submit requeste	d verification by

4.	On November 9, 2011, the Department \boxtimes denied Claimant's application \square closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
5.	On November 9, 2011, the Department sent notice of the \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application. \square closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6.	On November 17, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.			
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.			
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.			
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known by Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL ag., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
and XX of the state of the program and 99. The	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 to Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			

Additionally, the Claimant testified that she did drop off the necessary documentation in response to the Department's Verification Checklist in a timely manner. The Claimant failed to establish by evidence that she submitted the necessary documentation requested by the Department.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 10, 2012

Date Mailed: February 10, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

cc: