STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2012 13237

(76)

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	3008, 1005, 2006 December 29, 2011 Wayne County DHS		
	County.	Wayne County Drie		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included FIS, and Report Agent, Office of Inspector General.				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \prod deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \prod reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☒FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.				
 Claimant	ith a Verification Cl	necklist (DHS-3503).		

	On 10/14, 2011 the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
	The Department mailed the verification checklist to the Claimant at her last known address and the letter was returned to the Department.
	On 10/24/11, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On11/17/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. \boxtimes closure. \square reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 Age thro	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Sec The	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known

as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
Additionally, because the Department had a legitimate reason to determine whether the Claimant properly resided at the last address given to the Department, the Department sent the Claimant Request for Verification and request that she contact the OIG. The Claimant subsequently moved from the last known address on October 1, 2011, but did not advise the Department of her whereabouts until November 17, 2011 when the Claimant reapplied for benefits.			
The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). Because the Claimant did not provide the information or otherwise advise the Department of her new address, nor did she file a change of address with the Post Office, the Department had no choice but to close the Claimants case. Clients are required to provide changes in their information and respond in a timely manner to verification requests so that the Department can determine the correct amount of assistance and otherwise determine eligibility. The Department automatically closes a case under this circumstance when no response of any kind is received. The Department correctly closed the case based upon the best information available to it at the time. BAM115. Under these facts the Department correctly closed the Claimant's food assistance, medical assistance and cash assistance cases.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly			
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ightharpoonup did not act properly.			

Accordingly, the Department's decision is $igtimes$ Al reasons stated on the record.	FFIRMED REVERSED for the
The Claimant's request for hearing regarding medica	al assistance is DISMISSED.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND	
1.	

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/22/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>12/22/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

201213237/LMF

