STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 		_
 TILE		
THE	N/I /\ I	<i>,</i> , , , ,

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201213147 3003 December 19, 2011 Macomb County DHS (20)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Brad	ley				
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.					
ISSUE					
Due to a change in income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Developme	,			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ received benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	_	sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

	On November 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application denied Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to an increase in income, which was reported to the Department at the Seminual Review.				
3.	On October 19, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.				
4.	On October 31, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the				
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.				
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.				
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.					
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.				
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.				

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, The proper procedures for calculating a monthly FAP benefit issuance is set forth in BEM 556. The first step begins with calculating the group's monthly gross income. The Claimant's one-person group then receives a standard deduction of \$146. RFT 255. The standard deduction is subtracted from the countable monthly income to calculate the group's adjusted gross income.

The Department then calculates the excess shelter expense by adding the housing costs and standard utility deduction together, and then subtracting that amount from 50% of the adjusted gross income, which yields the excess shelter expense. Finally, the Claimant's adjusted gross income is subtracted from the applicable deductions, which in this case is only the excess shelter expense, to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554.

In this case, the Claimant testified that she agreed with the countable income the Department used to calculate the FAP grant. Further, there was no dispute as to the Claimant's shelter obligation, and the Claimant was issued the maximum utility credit allowed by Department policy. RFT 255. Therefore, the Department established that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated the Claimant's FAP budget. Accordingly, the action taken by the Department is upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Departm did act properly did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	CDC decision

Andrea J. Bradley
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/28/11

Date Mailed: <u>12/28/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/hw

