## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

(41)

## IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant.

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 12994 2001 April 4, 2012 Wayne County DHS
ΔΓ	OMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris	County.	wayne county bric
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 4, 4012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and FIM.			
ISSUE			
With respect to the Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) Program, did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application? ☐ close Claimant's case?			
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was a recipient of AMP benefits.		
2.	Claimant $\square$ was $\boxtimes$ was not living with a spouse during the time period in question.		
3.	The total countable income of Claimant's household was \$1253 at all times relevant to this matter.		
4.	The Department $\square$ denied Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case due to excess income.		
5.	On September 29, 2011, the Department sent	notice of the □	denial 🛛 closure to

6. On October 6, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> . Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Additionally, Income eligibility exists when the applicant's net income does not exceed the program group's AMP income limit. BEM 640, p. 3, RFT 236. Countable income is income remaining after applying AMP policy in BEM 500. Id. Only available income is used. Available means income which is received or can reasonably be anticipated.			
The monthly income limit in October 2011 for an AMP group of one living independently was \$316.00 per month. BEM 640, RFT 236. In the present case Claimant received employment earnings, which based upon the check stubs provided pursuant to the redetermination were \$618.64 and \$635.05 bi weekly, for a total of \$1253 per month, Exhibit 3. The budget submitted by the Department was reviewed at the hearing by the undersigned, and the Department's determination that the Claimant's income exceeded the income limit is correct. Based upon the foregoing facts and relevant law, it is found that the Department's determination to close the Claimant's AMP case is AFFIRMED.			
Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department			
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case.</li> </ul>			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			

## 201212994/LMF

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 6, 2012

Date Mailed: April 6, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/hw

cc: