STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TTER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No:	201212661 2006
		Case No: Hearing Date: Ingham Count	February 2, 2011
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scull	у	
	HEARING DE	CISION	
and MCL 4 telephone he Participants	is before the undersigned Administration 37 following Claimant's requestering was held on Thursday, Fe on behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) in	est for a hearing. At bruary 2, 2012, from l Partic	ter due notice, a
	ISSUE		
	ailure to comply with the verifica deny Claimant's application ⊠ clo		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC Medical Assistance (MA)?			
	FINDINGS O	F FACT	
	strative Law Judge, based upon the whole record, including testime	• *	•
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was ☐CDC.	receiving: FIP F	AP ⊠MA □SDA
2.	Claimant ⊠ was □ was r (DHS 1010).	not provided with a	Redetermination
3.	Claimant was required to September 1, 2011.	submit requested	verification by

4.	On September 19, 2011, the Department \square denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
5.	On September 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the \square denial of Claimant's application. \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6.	On October 18, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sy and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.			
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is I by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.			
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 are Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			

Date Mailed: February 2, 2012

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: February 2, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201212661/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

