STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-12503

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 15, 2011
County: December 15, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 15, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded Claim ant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received FAP benefits in the amount of \$19.00.
- 2. Claimant was in a group size of one and was
- 3. Claimant received \$1,077.00 in unearned income per month.
- Claimant had housing expenses of \$240.00.

5. On November 14, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the amount of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, BEM 550 instructs that eighty percent of the earned income of a household be added to unearned income to determine gross income. There was no earned income in this case, but Claimant received \$1,077.00 in unearned income. Adjusted gross income in a household of one is determined by subtracting the standard amount of \$146.00 (RFT 255). Monthly net income for FAP purposes is then determined by subtracting allowable expenses, such as a shelter deduction, if any. BEM 554.

In the present case, Claimant received un earned income of \$1,077.00 per month. The standard deduction of \$146.00 and the exce ss she lter deduction of \$328.00 was subtracted from that income to yield a net monthly income of \$603.00. A group size of one with a net monthly income of \$603.00 is entitled to \$19.00 in food assistance per month. RFT 260.

The Department may consider m edical out-of-pocket expenses submitted by Claimant, a senior, in future FAP budgets.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co n stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge	•
properly c alculated Claimant's FAP benefits FAP benefits.	improperly calc ulated Claimant's

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law, and for the reasons state ⊠ did act properly. ☐ did no	ed on the record, finds that the De		IONS
Accordingly, the Department's REVERSED for the reasons state	FAP c alculation decision is ed on the record.	△ AFFIRMED	

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/21/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>12/21/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

