## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ <b>T</b>	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (	u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-11752 2013, 3012, 4008 January 19, 2012 Wayne (82-35)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	r					
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and grandson and Authorized Hearing Representative. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Did the Department ⊠ approve Claimant's application □ close Claimant's case for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical As ☑ State Disability A ☐ Child Developme					
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial				
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:						
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

2.	Claimant did not apply for State Disability Assistance.
3.	On or about September 1, 2011, the Department APPROVED Claimant's application for FAP benefits at the rate of \$16 per month based on Claimant's income and APPROVED Claimant's application for MA benefits with a deductible requirement based on Claimant's income and expenses, and DENIED Claimant's application for MA Qualified Medicare Beneficiary (QMB) benefits based on Claimant's income.
4.	On or about September 1, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the FAP and MA approvals and the MA-QMB denial
5.	On October 24, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the FAP and MA approvals and the MA-QMB denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.

☑ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, it is undiusputed that Claimant's income disqualifies him from receiving more than \$16 FAP benefits. It is undisputed that Claimant's income disqualifies him from receiving MA-QMB benefits.
With regard to Claimant's MA, it is undisputed that Claimant has not submitted medical expenses for consideration as deductible exepnses. The Department agrees to accept and review Claimant's medical expenses as they are provided.
With regard to Claimant's SDA application, as the application has not been filed and no application is pending, there is no negative action for this Administrative Law Judge to review for appropriateness. Accordingly the Claimant's request for a hearing regarding SDA is premature and it is hereby DISMISSED.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
$\hfill \square$ properly approved Claimant's FAP and MA application, and properly denied Claimant's MA-QMB application.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Claimant's complaint regarding SDA benefits is premature and is HEREBY DISMISSED.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $oxtimes$ FAP $oxtimes$ MA $oxtimes$ SDA $\square$	CDC decision
is $igtimes$ AFFIRMED $igcup$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 23, 2012

Date Mailed: January 23, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2012-11752/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/pf

