STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2012-11705 Issue No.: 3002 Case No.: December 7, 2011 Hearing Date: Oakland DHS (04) County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 7, 2011 from Detr oit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant include d the above named claimant. Pa rticipants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Manager, and Specialist..

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation Close Claimant's case 🛛 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

	\times	
ľ		

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
 - State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant	applied for benefits for:	received benefits for:
--------------	---------------------------	------------------------



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On 9/22/11, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ⊠ reduced Claimant's benefits.
- On 9/22/11, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☑ reduction.
- 4. On 11/3/11, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ⊠ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass ista	nce
for disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly know	wn
as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M	CL
400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In the present case, Claimant disputed a DHS redetermination in which ongoing FA P benefits were reduced. The FA P budget factors were discussed during the hearing and Claimant only raised a dispute concerning out-of-state unemployment income. Claimant testified that she stopped rece iving the unemployment income and that DHS failed to factor the stoppage in the FAP benefit determination.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that pot entially affect eligibility or benefit amount. BAM 105 at 7. Changes mu st be reported within 10 day s of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. *Id*.

Claimant conceded not reporting to DHS that he r unemployment compensation stopped. Claimant indicated t hat she is an inexperienc ed ben efit recipient and thought DHS would have k nown this information without her reporting it. Claimant's assumption was incorrect. Based on what Claimant reported and DHS knew , it is found that DHS determined Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility effective 10/2011.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly improperly

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \Box did act properly \Box did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Christin Dordoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 16, 2011 Date Mailed: December 16, 2011 **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/ctl

