STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMA	N SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-11592 1038 December 8, 2011 Wayne (31)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 8, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant include d Claimant and Participants on behalf of Depa rtment of Human Services (Department) i ncluded ISSUE		
Did the Departm ent properly deny Claiman t's for:	application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be	enefits for:	

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On November 4, 2011, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to Claimant being subject to a third FIP sanction.
3.	On November 4, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On November 9, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 0.3180

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, work eligible individuals seeking FIP are required to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employm ent-related activity unles s temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. Failing or refusing to attend or participate in a JET program or other employment service provi der without good cause constitutes a noncompliance with employment or self-sufficient related activities. BEM 233A.

Clients who fail to comply wit h JET or work related activities without good cause are penalized with closure of their FIP cases. BEM 233A. At the time Claimant's FIP case was sanctioned on June 10, 2011, when the Notice of Case Action informing her of her FIP case closure was sent, Department policies provided that the first noncompliance resulted in a three-month FIP closure, the second noncompliance resulted in a three-month closure, and the third and subsequent noncompliances resulted in a 12-month closure. BEM 233A.

The Department testified that Claimant's November 4, 2011, FIP application was denied because Claimant was subject to lifetime disqualification from receipt of FIP benefits because she had previously received three sanctions under the FIP program for failing to comply with work-related activities. Ho wever, at the hearing, the Department failed to establish that Claimant had been subject to three FIP sanctions for noncooperation with her work-related activities. The ca se notes and particip ant history documents introduced into evidence by the Department indic ated that Claimant's FIP case was closed on June 24, 2011, and on July 21, 2010. While the Department testified that the Notice of Case Action closing Claimant' s ca se effective July 1, 2011, stated that Claimant's FIP case closed bec ause Claimant had faile d to participate with Work First, there was no evidence concerning the grounds result ing in the closure of Claimant's case on July 21, 2010. Furthermore, there was no evidence that Claimant's FIP had been sanctioned a third time. Because the D epartment failed to satisfy its burden of establishing that Claimant's FIP case had been sanctioned three times, the Department did not act in accordance wit h Department policy when it denied Claimant's November 4, 2011, FIP application.

Furthermore, the Department applied the incorrect sanction st andard to Claimant's case. Effective October 1, 2011, the se cond nonc ompliance results in a six-mont h closure of FIP benefits, and the third noncompliance results in a lifetime closure of FIP benefits. BEM 233A. However, because Claimant's sanction was imposed on June 10, 2011, prior to the October 1, 2011, change in the Department's policy on FIP sanctions, Claimant was subject to the FIP sanctions in effect at the time her sanctions we re

imposed. However, Claimant should be aware that if her FIP case is reinstated and the Department can establish that she has already been sanctioned at least twice, if her FIP case is again c losed for failure to partici pate in work-related activities, she will b subject under BEM 233A to a lifetime disqualification from any further FIP benefits. Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \times \text{did not act properly.} did act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister Claimant's November 4, 2011, FIP application;
- 2. Begin reprocessing the application in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FIP benefits Claimant was entitled to receive, but did not, from November 4, 2011, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>December 20, 2011</u>

Date Mailed: December 20, 2011

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of

the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

