## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MΔ.	TTFR	OF.
			1 1 1	<b>.</b> OI .

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.:	2012-11541 3008				
	Hearing Date: County:	December 13, 2011 Wayne				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 13, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Representation, Eligibility Specialist and Representation (Department).						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
	•	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:						
. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.						
Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).						
Claimant was required to submit requested verification by October 20, 2011.						
4 On November 1 2011 the Department						

	2-11541/CAP denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits frailure to submit verification in a timely manner.
	n October 24, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6. O	n November 8, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.  Science constants reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges bility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 US Agen 3131	the Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193 SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence (acy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101.  FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective (ber 1, 1996.
progr imple Regu Agen	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federa lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R3001-3015
Secu The I	he Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for di as th	he State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance sabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 2 1990 The pand	he Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

## 2012-11541/CAP

Date Mailed: <u>12/13/11</u>

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130. The department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of L stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge conclude   ☐ properly ☐ improperly						
<ul><li></li></ul>						
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findin of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that th   ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	_					
Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMEI reasons stated on the record.	REVERSED for the					
	C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge or Maura Corrigan, Director artment of Human Services					

## 2012-11541/CAP

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAP/ds

