## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201211238 3022 January 18, 2012 Wayne DHS (76)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gard	ocki			
HEARING DECIS	SION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on January 18, 2012 on behalf of Claimant included the above name Department of Human Services (Department) in Specialist.	for a hearing. A 2, from Detroit, M d Claim <u>ant. Parti</u>	After due notice, a ichigan. Participants		
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		•		
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	IP ⊠FAP □MA [	□SDA □CDC.		
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a R	edetermination (E	xhibit 1).		
3. Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by 9/2/11			

<ul> <li>4. On 9/19/11, the Department <ul> <li>denied Claimant's application</li> <li>closed Claimant's case</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>5. On 9/19/11, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closure of Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
6. On 11/4/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
DHS must periodically redetermine an individual's eligibility for benefit programs. BAM 210 at 1. A complete redetermination is required at least every 12 months. <i>Id.</i>
The redetermination process begins with DHS mailing a redetermination packet in the month prior to the end of the benefit period. <i>Id</i> at 4. The packet consists of forms and requests for verification that are necessary for DHS to process the redetermination. The forms needed for redetermination may vary, though a Redetermination (DHS-1010) is an acceptable review form for all programs. Verifications for redetermination must be provided by the end of the current benefit period or within 10 days after they are requested, whichever allows more time.
In the present case, DHS mailed Claimant a Redetermination (Exhibit 1) on 8/16/11 giving Claimant until 9/2/11 to report and verify all information with the Redetermination. It was not disputed that Claimant failed to report that she was employed. She also failed to verify the employment as directed by the Redetermination. As a result, on 9/19/11, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FAP benefits effective 10/2011. It is found that DHS properly terminated FAP benefits effective 10/2011.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
Christian Gardocki Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: January 20, 2012					

Date Mailed: <u>January 20, 2012</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CG/hw

