# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-11129

Issue No.: 2003

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 16, 2012

County: Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, F ebruary 16, 2012, in Inkster, Michigan. Participating on behalf of Claimant was Participating on behalf of the

Department of Human Services ("Department") was

### ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly processed the Claimant's July 26, 2010 Medical Assistance application, retroactive to May 2010?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted an application for medical assistance ("MA") on July 26, 2010 with retroactive benefits for May 2010.
- 2. At the time of application, the Claimant, and her spouse, lived to gether and were guardians of minor children.
- 3. The Department acti vated MA for the Claimant, her spouse, and the minor children.
- 4. The Department did not activate MA co verage retroactively as requested on the application.
- 5. During the hearing, the D epartment agreed to activate coverage retroactively to May 2010.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medic al Assistance program ("MA") is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administ rative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2)

In this case, the Department agreed to active to MA coverage retroactive to May 2010. All parties were amenable to this resolution. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's action is not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall, as agreed, init—iate processing of the Jul—y 26, 2010 application retroactive to May 2010 in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive with respect to the July 2010 application if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Colleen M. Mamelka

Date Signed: February 24, 2012

Date Mailed: February 24, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CMM/cl

