STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

201211056

Livingston

December 7, 2011

3014

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Christopher S. Saunders

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 7, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

<u>1000E</u>							
Did the Department properly deny Claibenefits for:	mant's application 🗵 reduce Claimant's						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi							
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive 	ed benefits for:						
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).						

 On November 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application	in
 On October 10, 2011, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ reduction. 	
 On October 21, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ reduction of his benefits. 	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	the
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Perso Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.31 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) prograeffective October 1, 1996.	93, nce 101
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (Faprogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independer Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.30 through Rule 400.3015.	is eral nce
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independer Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and M 400.105.	R). nce
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i>	is
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistar for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Hum Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the S program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.	nan DA

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, at the hearing the department was not able to state what the nature of the claimant's alleged noncooperation was. There was no representative present from the office of child support nor was there any evidence presented to show how the claimant was in noncooperation. Because the department was not able to show how the claimant was in noncooperation with child support, the department did not meet their burden of going forward.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly reduced Claimant's benefits
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
If the claimant is otherwise eligible, the department shall include him in the FAP benefit group, recalculate the budget to include the claimant in the group, and if applicable, issue any past due benefits due and owing to the claimant that he is otherwise eligible to receive.

Christopher S. Saunders
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/13/11</u>

Date Mailed: 12/13/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CSS/hw

