STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No. 2012-10959

Issue No.

Hearing Date:

3003

Case No.

January 10, 2012

Oakland County DHS (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Madison Heights, Michigan on Monday, January 9, 2012. The Claima nt appeared and testified. The Claimant was r epresented by appeared on behalf of the Depart ment of Human Services ("Department").

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly calculated the Claimant's food assistance ("FAP") benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is a FAP recipient.
- 2. The Claimant's group size is 7.
- 3. The Claimant receives earnings from employment on a weekly basis.
- 4. The Claim ant is responsible for a monthly shelter obligation of \$621.63 and is responsible for utilities.

2012-10959/CMM

- 5. In August 2011, the Claimant rece ived a \$287.00 F AP allotment based on a monthly income of \$3,160.00. (Exhibit 2)
- 6. On September 20, 2011, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant informing her that effective Oc tober 1, 2011, FAP benefits were being reduced to \$278.00. (Exhibit 3)
- 7. On November 3, 2011, the Department received the Cla imant's timely wr itten request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program, formerly k nown as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq*., and the Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3001 - .301 5. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

Group composition is the determination of which persons living together are included in the FAP program group. BEM 212. Shelter expens e is an allowable expense and includes rent payments. BEM 554. In det ermining a FAP allotment, a Heat and Utility Standard is used whenever a FAP group contributes to the heat expense separate from rent, mortgage, or condominium/maintenance payments. BEM 554.

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's e ligibility for program benefits. BEM 500. The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Prospective in come is income not yet received but expected. BEM 505. Prospective e budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income for future benefits. BEM 505. All income is converted to a monthly amount. BEM 505. A standard monthly amount must be determined for each income source used in the budget. BEM 505. Weekly benef it amounts are converted to a monthly amount by multiplying the weekly amount by 4.3. B EM 505. Bi-week ly amounts are converted by multiplying the amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

In this case, the Department processed the FAP budget and in cluded gross earning s from employment in the amount of \$3,160.00. The Department's FAP budget contained the correct group siz e, shelter obligation, and utility standard. In revi ew of the Claimant's actual weekly ear nings over a 5-week period; the gross earnings were \$1,488.17 which is well belo w the \$3,160.00 budgeted by the Department, even after

2012-10959/CMM

considering a prospective budget calculation. Ultimately, because the incorrect gross earnings were used, the FAP calculation is incorrect.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's FAP determination is not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- The Department's FAP calculation is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall recalculate the Cla imant's FAP allotment effective August 2011 to inc lude the c orrect gr oss earnings in accor dance with department policy.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant, and her Authorized Hearing Representative, of the determination in accordance with department policy.
- 4. The Depar tment shall supplement fo r lost benefits t hat the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Colleen M. Mamuka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 11, 2012

Date Mailed: January 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

2012-10959/CMM

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

