STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2012-10870 Issue No. 1038 3029 Case No.

Hearing Date: December 8, 2011

Wayne County DHS (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 8, 2011 from Detroit, Michigan. The claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Debr a O'Rear. Specialist, appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUES</u>

The first issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's F amily Independence Program (FIP) benefits due to Claimant's alleged noncompliance with Jobs, Education and Training (JET) participation.

The second issue is , if the noncomplianc e is established, whether DHS properly reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
- Claimant was not an ongoing JET participant.
- 3. On an uns pecified date, DHS mailed not lice that Claimant was to attend JET orientation.

- 4. On 9/12/11, Claimant failed to attend JET orientation.
- 5. On 9/19/11, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance scheduling Claimant for a triage to discuss Claimant's failure to attend JET orientation.
- 6. On 9/27/11, a triage wa sheld and it was determined that Claimant would be given another opportunity to attend JET
- 7. On 10/5/11, Claimant failed to attend a scheduled JET orientation.
- 8. On 10/5/11, Cla imant faxed DHS a disability certificate which indicated that she was unable to perform work from 8/ 2011-10/25/11 stemming from injuries Claimant sustained in a 7/2011 vehicle accident
- 9. On 10/13/11, initiated termination of FIP benefits and a reduction in FAP benefits effective 12/2011 bas ed on alleged noncompli ance due to Claimant's failure to commence JET participation.
- 10. On 11/4/11, Claimant r equested a hearing to dispute the FIP benefit termination and FAP benefit reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* DHS administers the FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3101-3131. DHS polic ies are found in the Bridges Ad ministrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The controlling DHS regulations are those that were in effect as of 10/2011, the month of the DHS decis ion which Claimant is disputing. Current DHS manuals may be found online at the following URL: http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/.

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A at 1. Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in a FIP gr oup to participate in Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activity unles s temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that m eet participation requirements. *Id.* These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. *Id.*

JET is a program administe red by the Michigan Depar tment of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth through the Mi chigan Works! Agencies. *Id.* The JET pr ogram serves

employers and job seekers fo r employers to have skilled workers and job seekers t obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. *Id.*

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. N oncompliance of applicants, reci pients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due t o failure to provide requested verification. Clients can reapply at any time.
- Failing or refusing to appear and participate with JET or other employment service provider.
- Failing or refusing to complete a Family Automated Screening T ool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- Failing or refusing to develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
- Failing or refusing to comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- Failing or refusing to provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Failing or refusing to appear for a sc heduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Failing or refusing to participate in em ployment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- Failing or refusing to accept a job referral.
- Failing or refusing to complete a job application.
- Failing or refusing to appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in wr iting a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abus ing or ot herwise behaving disruptively towar d anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal p revents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. Id.

It was not disputed that Claimant failed to attend two different JET orientations, one scheduled for 9/12/11 and the second scheduled for 10/5/11. Missing a scheduled appointment for JET orientation is a basis for DHS to find noncompliance with JET participation. It is found that DHS estables is shed a basis for noncompliance with JET participation.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for noncom pliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. *Id* at 3. Good cause includes any of the following: employment for 40 hours/ week, physically or mentally unfit, illness or injury, reasonable accommodation, no child care, no transportati on, illeg al activ ities, discrimination, unplanned event or factor, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. *Id* at 4. A claim of good cause must be verified. *Id* at 3.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. *Id* at 7. In processing a FIP closure, DHS is requied red to send the client a notice of noncompliance (DHS-2444) which must include: the date of the non-compliance, the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant and the penalty duration *Id* at 8. In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. *Id*. If good cause is asserted, a decision concerning good cause is made during the triage and prior to the negative action effective date. *Id*.

Claimant testified that she missed JET orientations because she is a disabled individual. Claimant stated that she was in volved in a vehicle accident in 7/2011 and has to attend physical therapy three times per week to treat her back and neck injuries. Claimant also stated that she takes medications including Vicodin and muscle relaxers which render her disabled.

Claimant's testimony presented two reasons for skepticism. First, Claimant testified that her physic al therapy involved various activities including exercisi ng and runnin g on a treadmill. Generally, running on a treadmill is not represent ative of a person who is incapable of attending JET. If Claimant is capable of r unning, Claimant should b e capable of performing the generally sedentary activities required for JET participation.

Claimant was also not assisted by her lack of prior JET parti cipation. Claimant was not attending JET prior to her vehicle accident when she had no physical obstacles.

At the hearing, Claimant pres ented a disability certificat e from her physician. The certificate was completed by Claimant's physician and noted that Claimant was unable to perform work from a period that cover ed both JET scheduled orientation dates which Claimant failed to attend. Claimant also presented a fax transmission which tended to verify that Claimant faxed the document to DHS on 10/5/11. Based on the evidence, Claimant established a basis for deferral from JET participation based on a short-term disability.

When an individual claims to be disabled or indicates an in ability to participate in work or the work participation program for more than 90 days because of a mental or physical condition, the client should be deferred in Bridges. BEM 230A at 10. Conditions includ e medical problems such as mental or physica. I injury, illn ess, impairment or learning disabilities. *Id.* For an inability to attend JET for a period of less than 90 days, DHS is to verify the short-term inc. apacity and the length of the incapacity using a DHS-54A, Medical Needs, or DHS-54E, Medical Needs - Work Participation Program, or other written statement from an MD/DO.

There was some dispute whet her Claimant informed her specialist that she was unable to attend JET or just needed ac commodation so Claimant could attend physical therapy appointments. However, Claimant's verification that a disability certificate was faxed to DHS on 10/5/11 should have provided DHS with notice that she was claiming a basis for deferral from JET. The disability certificate from Claimant's physician concluded that Claimant is not capable of performing work for a two month period which is the equivalent of a conclusion that Claimant could not attend JET. It is found that Claimant verified a basis for JET deferral based on a physical incapacity. Accordingly, Claimant established good cause for not attending JET orientation and the DHS FIP benefit termination is found to be improper.

The Food Assistanc e Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, et seq., and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001- 3015. DHS regulat ions are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

DHS is to disqualif y a FAP group member for noncom pliance when all the following exist:

- the client was active both FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance;
- the client did not comply with FIP employment requirements;
- the client is subject to a penalty on the FIP program;
- the client is not deferred from FAP work requirements; and
- the client did not have good cause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B at 2.

There was no disput e that the FAP benefit reduction was solely based on Claimant's alleged noncompliance with JE T participation. Based on the finding that the noncompliance finding was improper, it must also be found that the FAP benefit reduction was also improper. It is found that DHS improperly reduced Claimant's FAP benefits due to employment-related activity disqualification.

It should be noted that the previously submitted certificate has expired. DHS may refer Claimant back to JET though Claimant should also be provided an opportunity to verify a disability if one is still claimed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, finds that DHS improperly terminated Claimant's FIP benefits and improperly reduced Claimant's FAP benefits effective 12/2011. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits beginning 12/2011;
- (2) supplement Claimant for any benefits lost, including FAP benefits, as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance;
- (3) remove any disqualification from Claimant's disqualification history as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance; and

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki
Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 15, 2011

Date Mailed: December 15. 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will n ot order a rehearing o r reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/HW

cc:
Wayne County DHS (55)/1843
Christian Gardocki
File