STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-



Reg. No.: 20121046 Issue No.: 3003, 2001 Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 31, 2011

County: Wayne County DHS (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 31, 2011. from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included FIM.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	 ✓ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? 				

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	in received benefits for:
	Food A	Independence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). I Assistance (MA).	 ✓ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On October 1, 2011, the Department
3.	On September 2, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4.	On September 20, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, A review of the budget used to calculate food assistance was made and the income amounts received by the FAP group from unemployment benefits was confirmed by the Claimant. The Department reduced the FAP benefits due to change in income. The Department correctly included rent expense in the amount of \$799 and also included a \$588 utility allowance. Based upon the reviewof the income and expenses, the Department correctly calculated the Claimant's FAP benefits.
The Claimant also sought review of the Department's closure of the Adult Medical Program (AMP) medical assistance she was receiving. The Department correctly determined based upon the unemployment income received by the Claimant biweekly, of \$318, that the Claimaint's gross monthly income of \$636 exceeded the AMP income limit of \$316 and therefore correctly closed the Claimants' AMP benefits. RFT 236, BEM 212.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \boxtimes properly \square improperly
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits of Food Assistance (FAP) ☐ closed Claimant's case for the Adult Medical Program (AMP)
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🖂 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \boxtimes AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/1/11</u>

Date Mailed: 11/1/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw



