STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-10175

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 1, 2011
County: Wayne County

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 1, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) i ncluded Payments Supervisor.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's FAP benefits we re reduced on November 1, 2011 due to no shelter expense being input into Claimant's FAP budget.
- 2. Claimant paid \$300.00 in rent at the time of the decrease.

3. On October 31, 2011, Cla imant filed a hearing request, protesting the amount of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Per BEM 554, shelter expense is an allowable expense when calculating FAP benefits.

In the present case, the Department does not dispute that Claimant was paying \$300.00 in rent at the time the Department decre—ased Claimant's benefits. The Department's representative testified that the Department was under the belief that Claimant was not paying rent at the time of the decrease. However, it is clear from the testimony and all proofs provided that Claimant was paying \$300.00 in rent at the time of the decrease. Therefore, the Department was not correct in its FAP calculation, as it did not include the rental amount in its budget.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated with the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	
☐ properly c alculated Claimant's FAP benefits FAP benefits.	improperly calc ulated Claimant's
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's FAP c alculation REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	n decision is
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND	

- 1. Initiate recalculation of Claimant's FAP budget, effective November 1, 2011, using the rental amount of \$300.00.
- 2. Initiate issuance of any missed or increased FAP paym ents to Claimant, Nov ember 1, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/6/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>12/6/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-10175/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

