

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 20115927  
Issue No.: 2001  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Load No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:: March 28, 2011  
MACOMB County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Aaron McClintic

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 28, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] appeared on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Adult Medical Program application due to excess income?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for AMP and FAP benefits on October 26, 2010.
- (2) On October 26, 2010, the Department denied Claimant's AMP application due to excess income and approved Claimant's FAP benefit for \$16 per month.
- (3) Claimant received gross unemployment benefit of \$1152 per month at the time of application.
- (4) Claimant requested a hearing on November 3, 2010 contesting the denial of AMP benefits.

- (5) Claimant stated at hearing that she was satisfied with the Department's actions with regard to FAP.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the present case, Claimant had unearned income of \$1152 per month from unemployment benefits. Claimant's net income was \$1152. The net income limit for AMP is \$316. RFT 236. Therefore Claimant does have excess net income and is ineligible for the Adult Medical Program. This is the determination made by the Department and it is correct. Claimant testified that her unemployment ran out in January 2011. It was explained to Claimant that this decision was based on her circumstances at the time of application. Claimant was advised to reapply for benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly denied the Claimant's AMP application, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be and is hereby AFFIRMED.



---

Aaron McClintic  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 27, 2011

Date Mailed: April 27, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or

20115927/AM

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/sm

cc:

