

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No. 2011-53253  
Issue No. 1038; 3029  
Case No. [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: November 16, 2011  
County: Wayne (31)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Susan C. Burke

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 16, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], FIM, and [REDACTED], ES.

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly imposed a sanction, closed Claimant's case for benefits under the Family Independence Program (FIP) and reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits based on Claimant's failure to participate in employment-related activities.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP and FAP benefits and was required to participate in employment-related activities.
2. On June 29, 2011, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance which alleged a date of June 6, 2011 as the date of non-participation of required activity.
3. At the hearing, no evidence was presented that Claimant did not participate in required activity on June 6, 2011.

4. On August 1, 2011, the Department closed Claimant's FIP case and reduced Claimant's FAP benefits based on a failure to participate in employment-related activities without good cause.
5. The Department imposed a sanction on Claimant's FIP and FAP cases due to Claimant's failure to comply with employment-related obligations.
6. On July 13, 2011, Claimant filed a request for a hearing disputing the Department's action.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

In order to increase their employability and obtain employment, work eligible individuals (WEI) seeking FIP are required to participate in the JET Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. Failing or refusing to attend or participate in a JET program or other employment service provider without good cause constitutes a noncompliance with employment or self-sufficient related activities. BEM 233A.

In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, and the penalty duration. BEM 233A.

In the present case, on June 29, 2011, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance which alleged a date of June 6, 2011 as the date of non-participation of required activity. At the hearing, no evidence was submitted with regard to Claimant's noncompliance on the date of June 6, 2011. The Department presented general evidence about other dates of nonparticipation, but those specific dates were not included in the Notice of Noncompliance. The Department therefore did not follow its own policy when it did not include the alleged dates of noncompliance in its Notice of Noncompliance.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated within the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly imposed a sanction, closed Claimant's FIP case and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.


Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVERSED for the reasons stated within the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Remove the sanction imposed on Claimant's FIP and FAP cases.
2. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case, effective August 1, 2011 if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FIP.
3. Initiate restoration of Claimant's FAP benefits, effective August 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.
4. Initiate issuance of supplements to Claimant for FIP and FAP for any missed

2011-48794/SCB

or increased payments, August 1, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FIP and FAP.

  
Susan C. Burke  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/23/11

Date Mailed: 11/23/11

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2011-48794/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/ hw

cc:

