


STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201153184  
Issue No: 1018  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: October 19, 2011  
Ingham County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Suzanne D. Sonneborn

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received on August 15, 2011. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 19, 2011. Claimant and Claimant's authorized representative, [REDACTED], personally appeared and Claimant's authorized representative provided testimony on Claimant's behalf.

**ISSUE**

Whether the department properly closed Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits due to excess income?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. At all times relevant to this matter, Claimant was a recipient of FIP benefits.
2. On June 10, 2011, Claimant began receiving unemployment benefits. (Department Exhibits 3-4).
3. On July 14, 2011, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605) advising him that, effective August 1, 2011, his FIP case would be closed for the reason that his income exceeded the limit for the program. (Department Exhibits 6-13).
4. On August 15, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing contesting the department's closure of his FIP case. (Hearing Request).

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affective eligibility for benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1)

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Department policy provides that financial need must exist for a group to be eligible for FIP benefits. BEM 518. Financial need exists when the eligible group passes both the "Deficit Test" and the "Child Support Income Test". If the group fails either test, the group is ineligible for assistance and the application should be denied or the case closed for the benefit month (the month covered by an assistance payment) unless the group meets the conditions for Temporary Ineligibility of Extended FIP. BEM 518. Moreover, if, at the time of application, the group is ineligible for FIP benefits due to excess income but a change is expected for the next benefit month, the department should process the second month's benefit determination and, if the group is eligible based on that processing, the department should not deny the application. BEM 518.

To perform the Deficit Test, the group's countable income is subtracted from the eligible group's payment standard for the benefit month and, if there is at least a \$1.00 deficit remaining, the group is eligible for the benefit month. BEM 518, BEM 515. The FIP Monthly Assistance Payment Standard is set forth in RFT 210 and delineates payment standards for group sizes of one and more (ie. the payment standard for a program group of one member is \$306.00; two members is \$403.00; three members is \$492.00, etc). RFT 210.

A Child Support Income Test is required only when the group has certified support of more than \$50.00. BEM 518. To meet the Child Support Income Test, the program group's countable income and the amount of certified support must be less than the eligible group's payment standard. BEM 518.

201153184/SDS

For FIP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. BEM 500. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI), Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

In this case, Claimant acknowledged that at the time the department closed his FIP case, he was receiving biweekly unemployment compensation benefits in the amount of [REDACTED]. When multiplied by a 2.15 conversion factor in accordance with the applicable department policy, this amounted to a total of [REDACTED] in unearned income per month.

The FIP payment standard for a group size of eight members is [REDACTED] RFT 210. Because Claimant's total countable income of [REDACTED], when subtracted from the relevant payment standard of [REDACTED], does not result in a deficit, Claimant is not eligible to receive FIP benefits.

Consequently, this Administrative Law Judge finds that, based on the material and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, the department properly closed Claimant's FIP case due to excess income.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department acted in accordance with policy in closing Claimant's FIP case due to excess income.

Accordingly, the department's actions are **AFFIRMED**. It is SO ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne D. Sonneborn  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 20, 2011  
Date Mailed: October 20, 2011

201153184/SDS

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SDS/cr

cc:

