

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received on August 18, 2011. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 22, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Whether the department properly terminated and sanctioned the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was a FIP recipient and a mandatory WF/JET participant during the relevant time period in question. (Hearing Summary).
2. Claimant was required, as part of her participation in the WF/JET program, to turn in 20 hours of weekly job search activity.
3. On April 1, 2011, Claimant did not turn in any job search activity from the week of March 28, 2011. (Department Exhibit 1).
4. On August 9, 2011, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444), scheduling a Triage for August 9, 2011. (Department Exhibit 2).

5. Claimant attended the August 9, 2011 Triage and stated that she did not turn in her job search activity sheets because she was afraid taking the bus alone in the dark. The department found no good cause. (Department Exhibits 1-3).
6. On August 9, 2011, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) closing her FIP case for failure to comply with JET requirements. (Department Exhibits 3-1 through 3-4).
7. This is Claimant's second noncompliance with the WF/JET program.
8. Claimant submitted a hearing request on August 18, 2011 protesting the closure of her FIP benefits. (Request for a Hearing).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. BEM 229. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by the department when the client applies for cash assistance. BEM 229. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and assessments are covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. BEM 229.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that

meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth (DELEG) through the Michigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A.

With regard to FIP, the department requires its clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A. The department's focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. BEM 233A. But there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. BEM 233A.

The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency-related assignments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. BEM 233A. The department's stated goal is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A. The department recognizes that noncompliance may be an indicator of possible disabilities. BEM 233A. In this regard, the department does explore whether the client is confronted by any barriers. BEM 233A.

With regard to FIP, a Work Eligible Individual (WEI) who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. BEM 233A. As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. BEM 233A. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- . Failing or refusing to:
 - .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
 - .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
 - .. Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
 - .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
 - .. Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.

- .. Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
 - .. Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
 - .. Accept a job referral.
 - .. Complete a job application.
 - .. Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- . Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
 - . Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
 - . Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. BEM 233A.

Per department policy, good cause includes the following:

- . The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
- . The client is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable information. This includes any disability-related limitations that preclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance. BEM 233A.
- . The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client. BEM 233A.

- . The DHS, employment services provider, contractor, agency, or employer failed to make reasonable accommodations for the client's disability or the client's needs related to the disability. BEM 233A, pp. 3-4.
- . The client requested Child Day Care Services (CDC) from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure for noncompliance and CDC is needed for a CDC-eligible child, but none is appropriate, suitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site. BEM 233A.
- . The care is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions. BEM 233A.
- . The total commuting time to and from work and child care facilities does not exceed three hours per day. BEM 233A.
- . The provider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, providers (e.g., relatives) who are NOT registered/licensed by the DHS Office of Child and Adult Services must meet DHS enrollment requirements for day care aides or relative care providers. See PEM 704.
- . The child care is provided at the rate of payment or reimbursement offered by DHS. BEM 233A.
- . The client requested transportation services from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client. BEM 233A.
- . The employment involves illegal activities. BEM 233A.
- . The client experiences discrimination on the basis of age, race, disability, gender, color, national origin, religious beliefs, etc. BEM 233A, p. 4.
- . Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which likely prevents or significantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors include, but are not limited to the following:
 - . Domestic violence.
 - . Health or safety risk.

- . Religion.
 - . Homelessness.
 - . Jail.
 - . Hospitalization. BEM 233A.
-
- . The client quits to assume employment comparable in salary and hours. The new hiring must occur before the quit. BEM 233A.
 - . The client has a long commute where the total commuting time exceeds:
 - . Two hours per day, NOT including time to and from child care facilities, or
 - . Three hours per day, including time to and from child care facilities. BEM 233A, pp.4-5.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. BEM 233A. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- . For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in “First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits” below.
- . For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- . For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a “triage” meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. The department policy requires the department to coordinate a local process to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines. BEM 233A.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. BEM 233A. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, the department requires its staff to offer a phone conference at that time. BEM 233A. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period. BEM 233A. When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance

and the client agrees to comply, the department shall complete the First Noncompliance Letter (DHS-754). BEM 233A.

The department must determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. BEM 233A. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. BEM 233A. If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS counselor do not agree as to whether “good cause” exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement. BEM 233A.

DHS must be involved with all triage appointment/phone calls due to program requirements, documentation and tracking. BEM 233A. Clients not participating with JET must be scheduled for a “triage” meeting between the FIS and the client. BEM 233A. This does not include applicants. BEM 233A, p. 7.

If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, the department shall impose a penalty. BEM 233A. The department must send the client back to JET, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. BEM 233A.

If the client does not provide a good cause reason within the negative action period, the department is required to determine good cause based on the best information available. BEM 233A. If no good cause exists, the department will allow the case to close. BEM 233A. If good cause is determined to exist, the department should delete the negative action. BEM 233A.

- . The department will disqualify a FAP group member for noncompliance when:
- . The client was active both FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and
- . The client did not comply with FIP employment requirements, and
- . The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements (see DEFERRALS in BEM 230B), and the client did not have good cause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B, p. 1.

Noncompliance is defined by department policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and participating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing job applications, participating in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, providing legitimate documentation of work participation, etc. BEM 233A.

Here, Claimant was required to complete 20 hours of job search activity as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. Claimant failed to turn in her job search activity on April 4, 2011. Claimant, and her aunt, both testified that Claimant has difficulty understanding things,

suffers from learning disabilities and had been a victim of domestic violence at or near the time her job search activities were due. Although Claimant was confronted with these difficulties, she did not contact anyone at the JET office and ask for help. In addition, Claimant was aware of her requirements, but she failed to do what was necessary to meet them. Unfortunately, Claimant has a pattern of noncompliance with regard to the JET program.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant was noncompliant with WF/JET program requirements when she failed to comply with her required JET program activity on April 1, 2011. This Administrative Law Judge also finds that Claimant did not demonstrate that she had good cause for her noncompliance at her triage appointment on August 9, 2011.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department properly terminated and imposed a 3 (three) month sanction of Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for her second noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements.

Accordingly, the department's actions are AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/

C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/26/11

Date Mailed: 9/26/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAP/ds

■ [REDACTED]