

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201145080  
Issue No.: 1038  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: August 25, 2011  
Wayne County DHS (49)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 25, 2011 from Detroit, Michigan. The claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), [REDACTED], Specialist, appeared and testified.

**ISSUE**

Whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits due to Claimant's failure to attend Jobs, Education and Training (JET).

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On an unspecified date, Claimant applied for FIP benefits.
2. On 7/19/11, DHS mailed Claimant a notice to attend JET on 7/18/11.
3. Claimant failed to attend JET because she received the notice to attend after the date she was scheduled to attend.
4. On an unspecified date, DHS denied Claimant's FIP benefit application due to a failure by Claimant to attend JET.
5. On 7/28/11, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP benefit denial.

6. DHS agreed that Claimant is entitled to a reinstatement of her FIP benefit application because Claimant did not receive notice of an obligation to attend JET prior to the date she was given to attend JET.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* DHS administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A at 1. Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in a FIP group to participate in Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. *Id.* These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. *Id.*

JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth through the Michigan Works! Agencies (MWA). *Id.* The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. *Id.* The WEI is considered non-compliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with JET or other employment service provider. *Id.* at 2.

Mandatory JET clients are referred to JET upon application for FIP. BEM 229 at 3. DHS is to issue a manual correspondence, DHS 4785, JET Appointment Notice from Bridges at application, member add, or when a client loses a deferral to schedule an appointment for each mandatory JET participant. *Id.* at 4. When assigned, clients must engage in and comply with all JET assignments while the FIP application is pending. *Id.* JET engagement is a condition of FIP eligibility. *Id.*


In the present case, DHS denied Claimant's FIP benefit application due to a failure by Claimant to attend JET. It was not disputed that Claimant did not attend a scheduled JET appointment on 7/18/11. However, DHS agreed that Claimant was not given notice of the 7/18/11 appointment until after 7/18/11, thereby acknowledging that Claimant could not have possibly attended JET on 7/18/11. Due to the mistake, DHS agreed to reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit application and to evaluate Claimant's eligibility for FIP benefits accordingly. Claimant agreed to the resolution. As the agreement appears to comply with DHS regulations, the agreement between Claimant and DHS is accepted as a resolution to Claimant's dispute.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact, conclusions of law and by agreement of the parties finds that DHS improperly denied Claimant's FIP benefit application (application date not provided). It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit application; and
- (2) initiate processing of Claimant's

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

  
Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 26, 2011

Date Mailed: August 26, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/hw

cc:

