


STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201138772  
Issue No: 4070  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 20, 2011  
Iosco County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christopher S. Saunders

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 20, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

**ISSUE**

Whether the department properly cancelled Claimant's State Supplemental Payments (SSP)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was receiving State Supplemental Payments (SSP) prior to this hearing.
2. The department's SOLQ report shows Claimant receiving a recurring Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payment beginning February 1, 2000 and continuing as of April 1, 2011. (Department Exhibit D).
3. On May 24, 2011, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of State SSI Payment Change (DHS 430), informing Claimant that her quarterly State SSI payment had been canceled because the Social Security Administration notified the department that Claimant had not received a regular first of the month SSI check for three months. (Department Exhibit B).
4. Claimant submitted a hearing request on May 31, 2011 protesting the cancellation of her State Supplemental Payment. (Department Exhibit C).

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The State Supplementary Security Income program was established pursuant to Title XVI of the Social Security Act in 42 USC 1381, *et seq.*, and implemented by the provisions of Title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the State SSI program pursuant to 2002 PA 529, MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by agreement between the State of Michigan and the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services (Secretary). Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states:

### **SSI BENEFITS**

Supplemental Security Income (SSI) is a cash benefit to needy persons who are aged (at least 65), blind or disabled. It is a federal program administered by the Social Security Administration (SSA). States are allowed the option to supplement the federal benefit with state funds. In Michigan, SSI benefits include a basic federal benefit and an additional amount paid with State funds. The amount of the state benefit varies by living arrangement. BEM, Item 660, p. 1.

Payments are made for only those months the recipient received a regular monthly federal benefit. SSPs are NOT issued for retroactive or supplemental federal benefits. BEM, Item 660, p. 1.

In this case, the department was unable to produce any documentation showing that the claimant's SSI payments had ceased for any period of time and was not able to produce any documentation from the Social Security Administration indicating an interruption in said benefits. The Claimant testified that she had continuously been receiving SSI benefits and that there had been no interruption in her monthly payments. The department was unable to provide any documentation showing that the Claimant's

benefits had been discontinued, and in fact the department representative stated that she could not see any documentation indicating such.

Department policy indicates that clients will receive SSP for those months that the clients receive a regular monthly benefit. The documentation from the department as well as the testimony provided by the Claimant show that there has not been an interruption in the Claimant's SSI payments and that she is still currently receiving such. Based on the foregoing, the Administrative Law Judge cannot uphold the department's action of cancelling Claimant's SSP benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department improperly cancelled Claimant's SSP benefits.

Accordingly, the department's actions are **REVERSED**. The department shall reinstate Claimant's State Supplemental Payments (SSP) and the department shall issue any supplement SSP that Claimant is otherwise eligible to receive.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Christopher S. Saunders  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 25, 2011

Date Mailed: July 25, 2011

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CSS/cr

cc:

