

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2011-36936
Issue No.: 2000
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: September 28, 2011
Wayne County DHS (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, September 28, 2011. The Claimant did not appear; however, her Authorized Hearing Representative ("AHR"), [REDACTED] appeared on her behalf. [REDACTED] appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly processed Claimant's October 25, 2010 Medical Assistance ("MA")?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant/AHR submitted an application for MA benefits on October 25, 2010 seeking retroactive benefits for September 2010.
2. The Claimant had (and continues to have) a minor child in the home.
3. The Department did not determine eligibility.
4. On June 1, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In this case, the Department agreed to activate coverage based on having a minor child in the home effective September 2010. All parties were amenable to this resolution. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Department's action is not upheld.
2. The Department shall, as agreed, register and initiate processing of the October 25, 2010 application, which included retroactive benefits for September 2010, in accordance with Department policy.
3. The Department shall notify the Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
4. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive with respect to the October 2010 application if otherwise eligible and qualified.



Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge
For Maureen Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 30, 2011
Date Mailed: September 30, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

cc:

