

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2011-31878
Issue No: 2014
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Load No: 5800000000
Hearing Date:
June 22, 2011
Monroe County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 22, 2011. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly determine that claimant had excess income for purposes of Medical Assistance (MA) and a deductible spend-down?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant had an active Medical Assistance case as a caretaker relative.
- (2) Claimant was receiving MA with a deductible spend-down of \$ [REDACTED] per month because she qualified for MA under the Low Income Family category
- (3) Claimant's income increased.
- (4) The department caseworker conducted a case review and determined that claimant's deductible spend-down should increase to \$ [REDACTED] per month.
- (5) On April 11, 2011, based upon the new budget, the department caseworker notified claimant that her new monthly deductible would be \$ [REDACTED]

- (6) On April 22, 2011, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Michigan provides Medical Assistance Michigan provides MA eligible clients under two general classifications: Group 1 and Group 2 MA. Claimant qualified under the Group 2 classification because she received RSDI income which consists of clients whose eligibility results from the state designating certain types of individuals as medically needy. BEM, Item 105. In order to qualify for Group 2 MA, a medically needy client must have income that is equal to or less than the basic protected monthly income level. Department policy sets forth a method for determining the basis maintenance level by considering:

1. The protected income level,
2. The amount diverted to dependents,
3. Health insurance and premiums, and
4. Remedial services if determining the eligibility for claimants in adult care homes.

If the claimant's income exceeds the protected income level, the excess income must be used to pay medical expenses before Group 2 MA coverage can begin. This process is known as a spend-down. The policy requires the department to count and budget all income received that is not specifically excluded. There are three main types of income: countable earned, countable unearned, and excluded. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income is any income that is not

earned. The amount of income counted may be more than the amount a person actually receives, because it is the amount before deductions are taken, including the deductions for taxes and garnishments. The amount before any deductions are taken is called the gross amount. PEM, Item 500, p. 1. The net income limit for Low Income Family (LIF) Medical Assistance eligibility for a person in claimant's circumstances is \$626.00 pursuant to BEM, Item 110, page 2.

In the instant case, the department calculated claimant's income based upon earned income from employment. Claimant had earned income and based upon the average check stub, was earning \$919 in countable net earned income. Federal regulations at 42 CFR 435.831 provide standards for the determination of the MA monthly protected income levels. The department, in this case, is in compliance with the Program Reference Manual, Tables, Charts, and Schedules, Table 240-1. Table 240-1 indicates that the claimant's monthly protected income level for claimant's fiscal group of one person is \$408 per month which leaves her with an excess income in the amount of \$511. The department's determination that claimant has excess income for purposes of Medical Assistance eligibility is correct and LIF-MA is correct.

Deductible spend-down is a process which allows the customer with excess income to become eligible for Group 2 MA if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. BEM, Item 545, p. 1. Meeting the spend-down means reporting and verifying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the spend-down amount for the calendar month tested. BEM, Item 545, p. 9. The group must report expenses by the last day of the third month following the month it wants MA coverage for. BEM, Item 130, explains verification and timeliness standards. BEM, Item 545, p. 9.

The department's determination that claimant had a spend-down in the amount of \$ [REDACTED] per month is correct based upon the information contained in the file.

Claimant's allegations that the spend-down is too expensive, that she cannot afford the spend-down and that the policy is unfair are equitable arguments to be excused for department policy.

The claimant's grievance centers on dissatisfaction with the department's current policy. The claimant's request is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative Law Judge pursuant to a written directive signed by the Department of Human Services Director, which states:

Administrative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the department policy set out in the program manuals.

2011-31878/LYL

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

cc:

