

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2011-31014
Issue No.: 2001
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: May 26, 2011
DHS County: Wayne (82-57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and 400.37 and Claimant [REDACTED] request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 26, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED] Authorized Representative, and [REDACTED], witness for Claimant, appeared at the Administrative Hearing. [REDACTED], appeared and testified on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

ISSUE

Whether DHS terminated Claimant's Medical Assistance-Adult Medical Program (MA or Medicaid-AMP) benefits in accordance with its policies and procedures?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

1. In 2011, DHS provided Claimant with MA-AMP benefits.
2. Claimant is a single individual.
3. On February 14, 2011, DHS sent Claimant a Redetermination application requesting updated income and employment information.
4. On March 18, 2011, Claimant submitted three paystubs, for December 2010, for January 2011 and for February 2011, indicating her income was more than \$316 in each month.

5. On March 28, 2011, DHS terminated Claimant's AMP because her monthly income was higher than the maximum income allowable for a family of one, i.e., \$316 per month. The termination would become effective May 1, 2011.
6. On April 14, 2011, Claimant filed a Request for a Hearing with DHS.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

MA was established by Title XIX of the U.S. Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers MA pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. DHS' policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables (RFT). These manuals are available online at www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals.

AMP was established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act, Sec. (1115)(a)(1), and is administered by DHS pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* DHS' policies are contained in BAM, BEM and RFT. *Id.*

BAM, BEM and RFT are the policies and procedures that DHS officially created for its own use. While the manuals are not laws created by the U.S. Congress or the Michigan Legislature, they constitute legal authority which DHS must follow. It is to the manuals that I look now in order to see what policy applies in this case. After setting forth what the applicable manual items are, I will examine whether they were followed in this case.

In this case, the relevant manual item to consider is RFT 236, "AMP Income Levels (By Living Arrangement)." This DHS chart shows the maximum income a family can have to qualify for AMP benefits. RFT 236 states that for one person in an independent living situation, the maximum income allowed is \$316 per month. There is no dispute in this case that Claimant's income exceeds \$316 per month. Accordingly, I must find and conclude that DHS acted in accordance with RFT 236 in denying Claimant AMP benefits.

In conclusion, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, I conclude and determine that DHS is AFFIRMED. DHS need take no further action in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, concludes and decides that DHS is AFFIRMED. DHS need take no further action with regard to this matter.



Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 7, 2011

Date Mailed: June 8, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/pf

cc:

