

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES**  
**FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**  
P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████,

Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Docket No. 2011-1503 CL  
Case No. 20060047

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200, *et seq.*, following the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. ██████████, the Appellant's ██████████, appeared on behalf of the Appellant. ██████████, represented the ██████████ (Department). ██████████, appeared as a witness for the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny the Appellant coverage for pull-on briefs?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence presented, the Administrative Law Judge finds as material fact:

1. The Appellant is ██████████ Medicaid beneficiary. (Exhibit 1, page 7)
2. The Appellant has been diagnosed with shaken baby syndrome, intractable epilepsy, blindness, and incontinence. (Exhibit 1, page 7)
3. The Appellant is enrolled in the ██████████, where he is participating in a toilet-training program. (Exhibit 1, page 6)
4. An incontinent supply company contracted by the Department conducted a nursing assessment on ██████████, for the purpose of addressing the Appellant's need for pull-on briefs. (Exhibit 1, pages 7-9)

5. A Department pediatrician reviewed and denied the request for pull-on briefs. The Department determined that the Appellant appears to be toilet trained and only needs the pull-ons for nighttime bedwetting, which the Department deemed a behavioral condition, not a medical condition. (Exhibit 1, page 5)
6. The Department sent an Advance Action Notice of the denial on ██████████  
██████████ (Exhibit 1, page 4)
7. The Appellant's ██████████ filed a request for hearing with the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health on ██████████. (Exhibit 1, page 3)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

#### **Section 2.19 Incontinent Supplies; Standards of Coverage**

Pull-on briefs are covered for beneficiaries age 3 through 20 when there is the presence of a medical condition causing bowel/bladder incontinence and one of the following applies:

- The beneficiary would not benefit from a bowel/bladder program but has the cognitive ability to independently care for his/her toileting needs. (or)
- The beneficiary is actively participating in, and demonstrating definitive progress in, a bowel/bladder program.

Pull-on briefs are covered for beneficiaries age 21 and over when there is the presence of a medical condition causing bowel/bladder incontinence and the following applies:

- The beneficiary is able to care for his/her toileting needs independently or with minimal assistance from a caregiver. (Emphasis added)

Pull-on briefs are considered a short-term transitional product that requires a reassessment every six months. The assessment must detail definitive progress being made in the bowel/bladder training. Pull-on briefs covered as a long-term item require a reassessment

once a year or less frequently as determined by MDCH. Documentation of the reassessment must be kept in the beneficiary's file.

*Michigan Department of Community Health  
Medicaid Provider Manual  
Medical Supplier; July 1, 2010;  
Page 42*


The Department's witness explained that pull-ons were denied in this case because the Appellant appears to be toileting trained and only needs the pull-ons for nighttime bedwetting, which is a behavioral condition, not a medical condition. According to the Department witness, during the initial telephone nursing assessment, the Appellant's ██████████ stated that the Appellant is independent in toileting and only has nighttime bedwetting. (Exhibit 1, page 8) The Department also explained that the Department interpreted the letter provided by the Appellant's school as confirming that the Appellant is toilet trained. The letter stated that the Appellant participates in a toileting program and that he takes care of his own needs except when he requires assistance with snaps, buttons, and belts. The letter further stated that on the Appellant only had one bowel movement in his pants in the 12 days that he had been in school. (Exhibit 1, page 6)

The Appellant's ██████████ testified that the Appellant is not fully toilet trained. She stated that he is only continent of his bowels 10 percent of the time. She further stated that the Appellant is urinary continent 90 percent of the time. She explained that when she said he was independent at the nursing assessment, she meant that he could indicate when he needs to use the bathroom, not that he was fully toilet trained.

The Department's denial was proper. The nursing assessment notes indicated that the Appellant toilets independently, and the school's letter appeared to confirm that. Accordingly the Department's denial was supported by the evidence before it. However, given the discrepancies between the nursing assessment notes and the Appellant's ██████████ testimony as to the Appellant's actual abilities, a new nursing assessment would be beneficial, and the Appellant's ██████████ was encouraged at the hearing to pursue same.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department's denial of coverage for pull-on briefs was proper.

  
Docket No. 2011-1503 CL  
Decision and Order

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Kristin M. Heyse  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Janet Olszewski, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:



Date Mailed: 12/27/2010

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 60 days of the mailing date of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 60 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.