

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201113586
Issue No.: 2001
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Load No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: March 31, 2011
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 31, 2011. The Claimant appeared at the hearing and testified. [REDACTED] Assistance Payment Worker, and G [REDACTED] FIM, appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's AMP application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for AMP benefits on October 4, 2010.
- (2) Claimant received \$541 in unemployment benefits in September 2010.
- (3) On October 8, 2010, the Department denied Claimant's AMP application due to excess income.
- (4) Claimant requested a hearing on December 2, 2010 contesting the denial of AMP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the present case, Claimant had unearned income of \$541 per month from unemployment benefits. Claimant's net income was \$541. The net income limit for AMP is \$316. RFT 236. Therefore Claimant does have excess net income and is ineligible for the Adult Medical Program. This is the determination made by the Department and it is correct. Claimant testified that her unemployment ran out. It was explained to Claimant that this decision was based on her circumstances at the time of application. Claimant was advised to reapply for benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly denied the Claimant's AMP application, and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision in this regard be and is hereby AFFIRMED.



Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 13, 2011

Date Mailed: May 13, 2011

201113586/AM

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/sm

cc:

