#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No:	2011924
Issue No:	2009

Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice G. Spodarek

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on December 8, 2010. Claimant was represented by , collecting on behalf of a hospital.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 10, 2010, claimant applied for MA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- 2. Claimant applied for 2 months of retro MA.
- 3. On June 23, 2010, the MRT denied.
- 4. On June 23, 2010, the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On September 21, 2010, claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. On October 21, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.

- 8. As of the date of application, claimant was a 53-year-old male standing 5'8" tall and weighing 200 pounds. Claimant's Body Mass Index under the Medical Index Chart is 30.2 classifying claimant is obese. Claimant has a GED.
- 9. Claimant testified he does not have any alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant does smoke. Claimant has a nicotine addiction.
- 10. Claimant subsequently testified that he does not have a driver's license due to a number of DUIs. Claimant's credibility was compromised.
- 11. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in 2007—seasonal carnival work. Evidence indicates claimant collected unemployment until July 24, 2010. At application, claimant was collecting unemployment. Claimant's work history is unskilled.
- 12. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of shortness of breath, open heart surgery, back pain, arthritis,
- 13. The October 22, 2010 SHRT findings and conclusions of its decision are adopted and incorporated by reference to the following extent:

Medical summary: Admitted in 4/10 due to what appeared to be a transient ischemic attack. History of stents in 2005. Abnormal Adenosine Sign Stress Test. Cardiac cathe revealed total occlusion of right coronary and greater than 90 percent narrowing of left interior descending and proximal circumflex was diffusely diseased. Underwent coronary artery two. Post operative course bypass grafting times unremarkable. Exhibit 43. In 5/10 seen in follow-up in sternectomy was healing. Heart sounds within normal limits. Referred for cardiac rehab. 8/10 normal stress test in 5/10 reports. No findings of heart failure on exam. Reported back pain but motor strength and tone were normal. Sensory functions intact. Reflexes were 2+ and symmetrical. Grip strength and dexterity intact. Gait was normal. No evidence of congestive heart failure on exam. No significant neurological abnormalities. Denied per 202.13 as a guide.

- 14. There is no medical evidence to indicate that claimant's recovery should prohibit him from re-engaging in substantial gainful activity in less than 12 months from his onset date.
- 15. Any other alleged medical problems do not meet severity pursuant to any of the medical evidence.

16. Claimant testified that he basically engages in activities of daily living, and does not need any assistance with his bathroom and grooming needs. Claimant testified that he could lift approximately 50 pounds. Claimant can walk two to three blocks by self testimony.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and Iaboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

(1) Medical history.

- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- Signs are anatomical, physiological, or psychological (b) abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your Signs must be shown by statements (symptoms). medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric demonstrable signs are medically phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, development. thought. memory, orientation. or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

It is noted that Congress removed obesity from the Listing of Impairments shortly after the removal of drug addition and alcoholism. This removal reflects the view that there is a strong behavioral component to obesity. Thus, obesity in-and-of itself is not sufficient to show statutory disability.

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this ALJ finds that claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds claimant does not meet statutory disability on the basis of Medical Vocational Grid Rule 202.13 as a guide. In reaching this conclusion, it is noted that claimant's medical evidence does not restrict claimant from returning to SGA within 12 months from the onset. Since claimant alleged other problems, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge did the full sequential analysis which resulted in not finding statutory disability.

As noted above, claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to 20 CFR 416.912(c). Federal and state law is quite specific with regards to the type of evidence sufficient to show statutory disability. 20 CFR 416.913. This authority requires sufficient medical evidence to substantiate and corroborate statutory disability as it is defined under federal and state law. 20 CFR 416.913(b), .913(d), and .913(e); BEM 260. These medical findings must be corroborated by medical tests, labs, and other corroborating medical evidence that substantiates disability. 20 CFR 416.927, .928. Moreover, complaints and symptoms of pain must be corroborated pursuant to 20 CFR 416.929(a), .929(c)(4), and .945(e). Claimant's medical evidence in this case, taken as a whole, simply does not rise to statutory disability by meeting these federal and state requirements. 20 CFR 416.920; BEM 260, 261.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is UPHELD.

/s/\_

Janice G. Spodarek Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 2, 2011

Date Mailed: August 3, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JGS/db

