# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-917
Issue No: 2009; 4031
Case No: Load No: Hearing Date:

Hearing Date: December 8, 2010 Muskegon County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on December 8, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On July 13, 2010, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On September 16, 2010, the Medical Review T eam denied claimant's application stating that claimant's impairments were non-exertional.
- (3) On September 23, 2 010, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On September 30, 2010, claim ant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On October 21, 2010, the State Hearing Review T eam again denie d claimant's application st ating in its' analy sis and recommendation: the claimant has a history of bi-polar . He has never had a psychiatric admission and the longest he has been on medication is 2 months. He

was able to make and maintain normal eye contact. He was spontaneous and logical. His thought process was normal. The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Soc ial Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of simple unski lled work. In lieu of detailed work history the claimant will be returned to other work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile of a younger individua I, high school equivalent education and an unk nown work history, MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 204.00(H) as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claim ant's impairments' would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.

- (6) Claimant is a 31-year-old man whose b irth date is Claimant is 5'9" tall and weighs 170 pounds. Claim ant attended the 9 grade and does have a GED. Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.
- (10) Claimant last worked in 2009 as a cable installer for 3-4 months. Claimant has also worked in construction, in customer service, stocking store shelves and for a plumbing company and stated that he has had about 90 jobs since he was 16.
- (11) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: bi- polar disorder, and social anxiety as well as mood s wings. Claimant alleges no physic al impairments.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physica I or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

... Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood press ure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on it s signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2 ) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical so urce finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in subs tantial gainful activity and has not worked since 2009. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidenc e on the record indicates a psychiatric evaluation from Michigan Dis ability Determination services dated September 7, 2010, indicates that claimant arrived 45 minutes early for his 1:30 appointment on August 30. 2010. His father dropped him off. He pres ented a Michigan driver's license for identification. His lic ense will be sus pended until November because he gave fals information to a police officer. The last time he drove a vehicle was August 3, before his license was suspended. He said that he was 5'9" tall and weighed 160 pounds. He has not been gaining or losing weig ht. When he is depr essed he does not eat very well. When he is up he will eat a lot more becaus e he is always on the go. His sle ep varies depending upon his mood. When he is depressed he will sleep up to 20 hours. The claimant stated that his depr ession on a sc ale from 1-10 was about a 7 on the date of examination. His speech was clear and understandable. His rate and pressure of speech seemed appr opriate. He appeared orient ed to person, place, and time at the age appropriately. In his immediate memo ry, he could remember 5 num bers forward and 4 back ward and he could rec all 3 of 3 obje cts, 3 minutes later for recent memory. He knew his full name, age, date of birth and address, and when he was asked to name 4 men who have been presidents of the Un ited States since 1 950, he stated "Rona Id Regan, Bill Clinton, George Bush and George W. Bush. He named 5 large cities a s Seattle, New York, Detroit, Miami and Chicago and named Barack Obama, Mel Gibson, Chris Cornell and Andy Vetter as current fa mous people. He st ated 6+5=11, 7+8=15, 3\*4=12, 9\*9=81, 100-7=93, 93-7=86, 86-7=79. He stated that don't cry over spilled milk means, don't worry about stuff you can't change. He stated that a tree and a bush both grow from the ground and they need water and sunlight to gr ow and they are different because a bush is short and squatty and a tree is tall and wide. He st ate that if he was the first one in a movie theatre to see smoke and fire, he would run out. He was diagnosed with a bi-polar disor der and an axis GAF of 55 and his prognosis was guarded. He would be able to manage his own benefit funds (pp. 36-38).

This Administrative Law Judge did consider all 45 pages of medical reports contained in the file when considering making this decision.

Claimant testified on the record that he is single with no c hildren under 18 liv ing with him and does not have any income but does receive Food Assistance Program benefits. Claimant testified that he lives with his mother and his mother supports him and he does have a driver's license and he drives 1 time per week to the grocery store and he also drives approximately 30 minutes one way. Claimant t estified that he does cook every other day and cooks things like macaroni and cheese and he does grocery shop one time per week with no help but he usually makes a list. Claimant testified that he does do laundry sometimes but no other work at home and does not do any outside work. Claimant testified that he mostly sleeps but he watches TV 8 hours per week and plays video games 2 times per week and uses the computer about half an hour per da Claimant testified that he can stand with no limits and can sit for 20-25 minutes at a time and walk 2 miles. Claimant testified that he can squat, bend at the waist, shower and dress himself, tie his shoes, and touch his toes and he does not have any pain excep t his back does get sore sometimes. His hands and feet are fine, his legs and arms are fine, his knees are fine, and the heaviest weight that he can carry is 155 pounds and repetitively he can carry between 40-60 pounds. Claimant test ified that he does smoke a pack of cigarettes per day and he stopped smoking marijuana approximately 2 years before the hearing. Claimant testified that he is usually depressed 20-21 days out of the month and he does not want to get out of the bed and he is very paranoid.

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of pr oof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant has reports of pain in multiple areas of his body; however, there are no corresponding clinic al findings that suppor t the reports of symptoms and limitations made by t he claimant. There are no labor atory or x-ray findings listed in the file. The clinical impression is that claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a

deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insuefficient to establish that claim and has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

Claimant alleg es the following disabling mental impairments: bi-polar disorder, and mood swings and social anxiety.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric e vidence in the record ind icating claimant s uffers severe mental limitations . There is no ment al residual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is in sufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was or iented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiar y record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impair ment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon hi s ability to perform his past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Admin istrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objecti ve medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant has failed to pr ovide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 mont hs. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-

Vocational guidelines, a younger individual (age 31), with a high school education and an unskilled work history who is limited to light work is not considered disabled.

If an individual fails to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore their ability to engage in substantial activity without good cause there will not be a finding of disability.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

The department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department and enthase established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

	<u>/s/</u>
Landis	Y. Lain
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Ismael Ahmed, Director
	Department of Human Services
Date Signed: <u>December 22, 2010</u>	
Date Mailed:December 22, 2010	
	<b>_</b> _

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## LYL/alc



