STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-9064

Issue No.: <u>2000</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 13, 2011 DHS County: Wayne (82-82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 13, 2011. Claimant was represented by her daughter, The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA on August 4, 2010.
- 2. The Department sent a verification checklist to Claimant on August 20, 2010, with a due date of August 30, 2010.
- Claimant's MA application was denied on September 18, 2010.
- On November 17, 2010, Clamant requested a hearing.
- At the hearing, the Department agreed to process Claimant's current MA application as she anticipates going to a nursing home within ten days.

6. As a result of the agreement, Claimant, through her authorized representative, indicated that she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The MA program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. The Department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Department policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when the Department receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

In the present case, the Department has agreed to process Claimant's current MA application as she anticipates going to a nursing home within ten days. As a result of this agreement, Claimant indicated she no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since Claimant and the Department have come to an agreement, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. Therefore, it is ORDERED that the Department process Claimant's current MA application in accordance with this settlement.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 19, 2011

Date Mailed: January 20, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/pf

