# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:	
, Appellant/	Docket No. 2011-8940 PA Case No. 34884358
DECISION AND ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq., following the Appella	
After due notice, a hearing was held on appeared on her own behalf. represented the Department. as a witness for the Department.	. The Appellant, , , appeared
<u>ISSUE</u>	
Did the Department properly deny the Apperfor a lower partial denture?	ellant's request for prior authorization
FINDINGS OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa	•
1. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary.	
<ol> <li>On the control of the department requirement requirement and lower partial dentures from the 8)</li> </ol>	ceived a prior-authorization request for e Appellant's dentist. (Exhibit 1, page
<ol> <li>The Department approved the upper partial authorization request for the lower partial that once the Appellant is provided with the approved, she will have eight posterior to 8)</li> </ol>	denture. The Department determined he partial upper denture that had been
4. The Department sent the Appellant a N	otification of Denial on

. (Exhibit 1, pages 6-7)

# Docket No. 2011-8940 PA Decision and Order

5. On Republic to the Department received the Appellant's Request for a Hearing. (Exhibit 1, page 3)

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

### 1.10 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Medicaid requires prior authorization (PA) to cover certain services before those services are rendered to the beneficiary. The purpose of PA is to review the medical need for certain services.

MDCH Medicaid Provider Manual, Practitioner Section, October 1, 2010, page 4.

The issue in this case is whether the Department properly denied the Appellant's request for prior authorization of a lower partial denture. The MDCH Medicaid Provider Manual, Dental Section, October 1, 2010, page 17, outlines coverage for partial dentures:

Complete or partial dentures are authorized:

- It there is one or more anterior teeth missing;
- If there are less than eight posterior teeth in occlusion (fixed bridges and dentures are to be considered occluding teeth); or
- Where an existing complete or partial denture cannot be made serviceable through repair, relining, adjustment, or duplicating (rebasing) procedures. If a partial denture can be made serviceable, the dentist should provide the needed restorations to maintain use of the existing partial, extract teeth, add teeth to an existing partial, and remove hyperplastic tissue. (Exhibit 1, page 9).

The Department introduced evidence that once the Appellant has the upper partial denture placed, she will have at least eight posterior teeth in occlusion. The Department testified that this was the reason the prior-authorization request for the lower partial denture was denied, in accordance with the policy outlined in the Dental

# Docket No. 2011-8940 PA Decision and Order

Section of the Department's Medicaid Provider Manual. In this case, the Appellant will have eight posterior teeth in occlusion once the upper partial denture is placed.

The Appellant did not dispute the material evidence provided by the Department. She testified that she had 11 teeth pulled, and she only has one molar on the lower left side of her mouth. She is concerned about whether she will be able to chew without the lower partial denture.

While this Administrative Law Judge understands the Appellant's concern, the Department provided sufficient evidence that it did not authorize the lower partial denture in accordance with the Department's policy because the Appellant will have at least eight teeth in occlusion after placement of the upper partial denture.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied the Appellant's request for prior authorization for a lower partial denture.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Kristin M. Heyse
Administrative Law Judge
for Olga Dazzo, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: 3/1/2011

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.