# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2011-8088

Issue No.: 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 3, 2011
DHS County: Oakland (63-02)



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 400.9 and M 400.37, and Claimant request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 3, 2011. Claimant appeared and testified at the hearing.

Claimant's wife, also appeared and testified at the hearing.

Appeared and testified on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS).

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether DHS properly calculated Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as fact:

- 1. From June 1-August 31, 2010, Claimant received FAP benefits of \$139 per month.
- 2. On September 1, 2010, Claimant's wife's income increased, causing his FAP benefits to decrease to \$16 per month.
- 3. On November 1, 2010, Claimant filed a notice of hearing request with DHS.
- 4. In December 2010, Claimant's wife's income was reduced.
- 5. At the hearing, Claimant presented his wife's December income statements and he and his wife testified that her income was permanently reduced at that time.

- 6. At the hearing, DHS agreed to recalculate a change of income beginning January 1, 2011, based on the December 2010 paystubs.
- 7. After DHS agreed to recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits as of January 2010, Claimant indicated he no longer wished to proceed with the hearing.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

FAP was established by the U.S. Food Stamp Act of 1977 and is implemented by Federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DHS administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3001-400.3015. DHS' policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). These manuals are available online at <a href="https://www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals">www.michigan.gov/dhs-manuals</a>.

Under BAM Item 600, clients have the right to contest any DHS decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is illegal. DHS provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. DHS policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns start when DHS receives a hearing request and continue through the day of the hearing.

In this case, the parties stipulated to a settlement agreement whereby DHS will recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits beginning January 1, 2011, based on Claimant's wife's change of income in December 2010. As the parties have reached an agreement, it will not be necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to decide the issue presented in this case.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, determines that the parties have reached a settlement agreement in this matter, whereby DHS will recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits beginning January 1, 2011. Based on this agreement, IT IS ORDERED that DHS shall recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits as of January 1, 2011, in accordance with all DHS policies and procedures.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Duane Berger, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 10, 2011

Date Mailed: January 11, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/pf
cc: