## STATE OF MICHIGAN

# STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20118037

Issue No.: 2001

Case No.: Load No.:

March 28, 2011

Hearing Date: Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ma rch 28, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. The Department was represented by FIM

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in denying Claimant's applic ation for Medical Assistanc e (MA), including the Adult Medical Program (AMP) for Claimant's husband?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA for her husband, and again through October, 2010.
- 2. Claimant's husband was not blind, disabled, a caretaker, or a refugee, and he did not meet the age requirements for MA programs other than AMP.
- 3. Claimant had earned income of \$202.00 per week.
- 4. The Department denied Claimant's applications for AMP on November 3, 2010.
- 5. The AMP program was open for enrollment from October 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010.
- Claimant requested a hearing on the denial on November 9, 2010.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq*. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM,) which includes the Reference Tables (RFT.)

For AMP, BEM 640 dictates that income eligibility is met when the net income does not exceed the AMP income limit. To calculate net income, \$200.00 is taken from gross earnings. In addition, a deduction of 20% is taken from the remaining gross earnings. RFT 236 shows the AMP monthly income lim it for an individual and significant pouse to be \$425.00.

In addition to AMP, there are MA categories for clients who are:

- •• Age 65 or older, blind, or disabled.
- Pregnant or recently pregnant.
- Caretaker relatives of dependent children.
- •• Under age 21.
- •• Refugees.

BEM 640, p.1

In the present case, Claimant earned \$202.00 per week from her employer. Claimant also earned \$937.00 in self -employment per month. Cla imant's earned income of \$1,745.00 per month yields \$1,236.00 per month after the above-described deductions. Claimant's net income of \$1, 236.00 exceeds the income limit of \$425.00 for individual and spouse for the AMP program. Also, the AMP program was open for enrollment only from October 1, 2010 through Nov ember 30, 2010, during the time frame in which Claimant applied. The Department was therefore correct in its denial of Claimant's AMP application. Claimant's husband did not otherwise qualify for medical assistance, as he was not determined to be disabled, blind, a caretaker, or a refugee and did not meet the age qualifications. Clai mant testified that her hus band needs medical assistance, and while I sympathize with Cla imant and her husband, De partment policy does not afford assistance in this particular instance.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law finds that the Department's decision to deny Claimant's MA/AMP application was

#### 20118037/SCB

correct and it is ORDERED that the Department's decision is therefore AFFIRMED.

Susan C. Burke

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 18, 2011

Date Mailed: April 18, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/hw

cc: