STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 20117778 Issue No: 2021

Case No:

Hearing Date: March 9, 2011

Delta County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the under signed Administrative Law J udge by authority of MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request for a hearing was receiv ed on November 17, 2010. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, March 9, 2011.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) on April 15, 2010, with retroactive coverage to January of 2010.
- 2. The Department requested that the Claimant provide veri fication of her ass ets and income.
- 3. On May 4, 2010, the Claimant provided the Department with payroll records and a portion of a life insurance policy.
- On September 21, 2010, the Department denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) fo r failure to provide inf ormation necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

5. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on November 17, 2010, protesting the denial of her Medical Assistance (MA) application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. BAM 105, p. 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence e to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130, p. 1. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is r equired by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. BAM 130, p. 1. The Department uses docum ents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. BAM 130, p. 1. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130, p. 2. When documentation is not available, or clarific ation is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130.

In this case, the Claimant applied for Medica I Assistance (MA) on April 15, 2010, with retroactive coverage to January of 2010. The Department requested that the Claimant provide verification of her assets and income, and extended the deadline for the Claimant to provide verification at least three times. On September 21, 2010, the Department denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) for failure to verify her assets.

The Department considers the following types of assets when determining eligibility for the Medical Assistance (MA) program:

- Cash (which includes savings and checking accounts).
- Investments.
- Retirement Plans.
- Trusts. BEM 400.

An asset must be available to be countable. Available means that someone in the asset group has the legal right to use or dispose of the asset. BEM 400. The value of these plans is the amount of money the person c an currently withdraw from the plan. The Department will deduct any early withdrawal penalty, but not the amount of any taxes due. BEM 400. The value of a 401(k) account is the amount of money the person can currently withdraw from the plan less any ear ly withdrawal penalty, but not the amount of any taxes due. BEM 400.

In this case, the Claimant's 401(k) account is not an available as set because she is not eligible to make withdrawals or to close the account. The Claimant did take a load from the account, but Department policy does not treat these funds as income. Furthermore, funds that are not considered countable income do not need to be verified.

The Department requested that the Claimant verify the value of her assets, including the value of any stock owned by the Claimant. The Department received verification documents from the Claimant, which includes a section de scribing stock price and market value.

The verific ation documents were provided to the Department by the Claima nt on May 4, 2010. The portion whic h includes stock prices is a portion of an insurance policy. While the document does include information about stock values, the document was not intended to report an asset held by the Claimant. A cover I etter attached to the Claimant's verification documents indicates that he was submitting verification of a life insurance policy.

The Department did not offer any evidence that the Claimant reported stock as an asset on her application for assistance.

The Department requested that the Claimant verify her income. On May 4, 2010, the Claimant provided the Department with copies of her payroll rec ords. These records cover the pay periods January 11, 2010, to January 22, 2010, and January 25, 2010, to February 5, 2010, and March 8, 2010, to Marc h 19, 2010. The Department considere d these pay records to be an incomplete record of the Claimant's earned income because they include gaps in the Claimant's work history. The Department's representative testified that the Cl aimant's cas eworker had no way of knowing whether the Claimant had working during the periods not covered by her verification documents.

The Claim ant's payr oll documents do not in clude any information for the period of February 5, 2010, through March 8, 2010. The Claimant argued that these records are complete, and any gaps in these employment records correspond to periods in which she did not work any hours.

The client must obtain verification necessary to determine eligibility, but the Department must assist the client if they need and reques t help. BAM 130. If neither the client nor the Department can obtain the v erification despite a reasonable effort, the Department will use the best available information, and if no evidence is available, the Department

will use its best judgment. BAM 130. The D epartment will allow a client 10 calendar days to provide verification and if the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department will extend the time limit up to three times. BAM 130. The Department will send a case action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed. BAM 130.

The Claim ant had a duty to provide the D epartment with information to es tablish her eligibility to receive benefits. It is the Department's policy that applications for Medical Assistance will not be denied for failure to v erify unless the applicant refused to provide verification, or the time period given has el apsed. The Department's policy in Bridges Administrative Manuel Item 130 establishes a separate standard for Medical Assistance (MA) applications than for in come verification associated with other benefit programs, where benefits will n ot be termi nated unless the applicant fails to make a reasonab le attempt to provide the verification.

In this case, the Claimant provided the Department with payroll records as verification of her earned income. These pay roll records leave gaps in the Claimant 's work history. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant did not refuse to provide verification of her earned income, and that she made a reasonable effort to provide the verification.

However, the documents the Claimant supplied as verification of her income are incomplete. These documents do not verify whether the Claimant worked from February 5, 2010, through March 8, 2010, whether she received paid leave, or whether her employer provided her with some other form of compensation. The Claimant's records show that the Claimant worked for four hours between March 8, 2010, and March 19, 2010, but that her employer paid her for the whole day. The Claimant did not provide documentation showing that she had received no pay during the gaps in her records. Therefore, the Claimant failed to provide verification of her earned income before the due date.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to provide sufficient verification of her earned income before the due date, and that the Department has established that it properly denied the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance for failure to provide information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility.

20117778/KS

The Department's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility determination is AFFIRMED. It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

KevinScully

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 31, 2011

Date Mailed: March 31, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/vc

CC:

