STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2011-6363

Issue No: 1025, 3008, 2006

Case No:

Hearing Date February 3, 2011

Calhoun County DHS (21)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on February 3, 2011. Claim ant personally appeared and testified. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the department of Human Services (t he department) properly propose to cancel claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP), Food Assistance Program (FAP), and Medical Assistance (MA) based upon its' determination that claimant failed to comply with child support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was a FIP, FAP, and MA benefit recipient.
- (2) The depar tment of Office of Chil d Support sent clai mant a customer contact letter requesting information about the father of claimant's child.
- (3) On September 10, 2010, a message was received from the client asking for a good cause waiver because s he stated she didn't know who the father of the child was.
- (4) On September 14, 2010, a DHS 2168 form was generated in BRIDGES and sent to the client.

- (5) On September 29, 2010, a non-cooperation not lice with support dated September 23, 2010, was received in the department office.
- (6) On September 30, 2010, claimant submitt ed a blank DHS 2168 with a handwritten statement.
- (7) Claimant's hand written statement said, "I really hav e no idea who T's father is. I originally had hoped the guy was with for 7 years was the father but the nurse said he was mi xed. I believe when I was really messed up and black ed out one night afte r I split with that guy, I must have gotten with someone but I don't re member. All I know is that I to have some fun and I woke up in my own walked out to bed. I know I had taken something bef ore I went, I remember screaming and dancing to the bands and then I woke up at home. I do not recall any black dudes there. I'm a white dude only kind of chick. I know I found I was pregnant a month later and miscarried a month later and then learned I was still pregnant at the end of I do not recall being with a black guy. I am sorry for all this and I am embarrassed at the fact that I put myself in such a situation. I don't even know if it was consensual or not. I was told I was raped but my area wasn't sore, no bruises, no nothing. If the Food Stamps and the cash a ssistance need to be cut back I understand but I really do need the hel p to be able to get diapers and other thing s that will be needed until I can get on disability or SSI or whatever. I have been hav ing several appointments as well that require bus passes and sometimes child care. If there is any thing that I need to do, just let me know. I haven't marked anything on the paper because I didn't think that anything was cor rect for me to mark. Thank you for your time."
- (8) On October 4, 2010, claimant called for a phone interview appointment for FAP review.
- (9) The worker discussed child support wit h the client and explained that she needed to complete the 2168 and sign it so that it could be sent to a Child Support Specialist.
- (10) The worker explained that simp ly saying that she didn't know who the father of the child is was not a valid answer.
- (11) The work er suggest ed that s he could cooperate by providing Child Support Specialist with a name of the man she was seeing when she conceived and allow testing to rule him out as a starting point.
- (12) The worker explained that non-cooperation meant that claimant would not be able to receive cash or daycare and she would be disqualified from

- receiving Food Assistance Program benefits and Med ical Assistance and only the baby would continue to receive those benefits.
- (13) On October 27, 2010, a child suppor t sanction was imposed as notice of cooperation was not received.
- (14) On November 4, 2010, claimant filed a timely hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was establis hed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP progr am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility M anual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Admini strative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department philosophy indicates that fa milies are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have the responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing

support and/or cooperating with the depart ment including the Office of Child Support, the Friend of the Court and the Prosecuting Attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. Clie nts must comply with all re quests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child s upport on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance. unless their claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM, Item 255, p. 1. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. Disqualific ation inc ludes member removal, denial of program benefits and/or case closure depending upon the program. Exceptions to the cooperation requirement are allowed for all child support actions except failure to return court ordered su pport payments received after the payment effective date. Grant good c ause only if requiring cooperation/support action is agains t the child's best interest and there has bee n specific good caus e reason. BEM, Item 255, pp. 1-2).

There are two types of good cause:

- Cases in which establishing paterni ty/securing support would harm the child. Do not require cooperation/s upport action at any of the followin g circumstances:
 - Where the child was conceived due to incest or forcible rape
 - Where legal proceedings for the adoption of the ch ild are pen ding before a court, and
 - The client is currently receiv ing counseling from a public or a licensed private social agency to decide if the child should be released for adoption and the counsel ing has not gone on for m ore than 3 months.
- Cases in which there is danger of ph ysical or emotional harm to the child or client. Physical or emotional harm ma y result if the client or child ha s been subject to or in danger of:
 - o Physical acts that result in, or threatened to result in physical injury
 - o Sexual abuse
 - Sexual activity involving a dependent child
 - Being forced as a c are taker relative of a dependent child t o engage in non-consensual acts or activities.
 - Threats of or attempts at physical or sexual abuse
 - Mental abuse, and

Neglect or deprivation of medical care. BEM, Item 255, pp.2-3

This Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant does not have good cause for failure to provide information about her c hild's father. Even if what the c laimant states is true. in that she was drunk, does not remember who the man is, does not know the man's name, location and m ay have only seen him one time because of a one night stand, lack of k nowledge is not an established good c ause reason in policy for failure to provide information. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the department has established by the necessar y competent, material and substantial evidence on the pliance with department policy when it pr oposed to record that it was acting in comcancel c laimant's Family Independence Program, Food Assistance Pr ogram, and Medical As sistance benefits because claim ant did not provide information about the paternity of her child. Claimant does have two older children, and she is not married to the father of those children. Claimant has knowledge t hat she would also have to provide information for the current baby that she has. Claimant di d not establish good cause for her failure to provide information about the paternity of her child. Claimant's testimony is not credible. Therefore, the department's actions must be UPHELD.

The claimant's attorney signed an affidavit fo r the claimant stating that they provided information to the Child Support Specialist on January 10, 2011, r egarding claimant's ex-boyfriend. Although the department can now research claimant's ex-boyfriends background and determine whether or not he is the father of claimant's child, this does not change the fact that claimant failed to provide an y information to the department when it was asked for and the department's case must be UPHELD.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it proposed to cancel claimant's Family Independence Program based upon its' determination that claimant did not provide good cause to for her failure to provide information about the paternity of her child and based upon the fact that she did not provide any information about the paternity of her child.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/ Landis Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: March 28, 2011

March 28, 2011 Date Mailed:

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

CC: